The early years of Bruno Maximovich Pontecorvo at Dubna



It is really a pleasure and a great honor for me to present some of the activities of Bruno Maximovich Pontecorvo at the Institute of Nuclear Problems of Dubna.

I will mainly focus on the early years of his activity at Dubna, since other Speakers at this Symposium will certainly present his full activity in a much better way than I could do.

> Rino Castaldi INFN-Pisa

Let me try to imagine Bruno Pontecorvo as man and scientist when, in September 1950 at the age of 37 year old, he decided to move with his family to Moscow.

An experimental physicist expert on advanced detector techniques

He has just published a review ("Recent development in proportional counter technique, Helv. Phys. Acta, 1950, vol 23, Suppl. 3, p.97-118) based on his work on high multiplication proportional counters, done al Chalk River Laboratory which allow to detect not only the position of a charged particle but also the ionization energy released by the particle even in presence of small ionization.

The gas detectors he describes in this paper, except from the readout electronics, are not much different from the wire gas chambers of nowadays:

- \succ tungsten wires of 50–100 μ m in diameter
- > cathode tubes up to 50 cm long and ranging from 0.2 to 5 cm in diameter
- > filled with Ar (or Xe) + 20% CH4 as gas quencher
- > applied voltages of 2-3 KV....

Not too much different from the 4 mm straw tubes of the TRT of ATLAS !!!



Proportional gas tubes used by Pontecorvo







Proportional gas straw tubes used by ATLAS

A theoretical physicist with a prophetic vision of weak interaction

After the famous experiment of Conversi, Pancini and Piccioni and the interpretation given by Fermi, Teller and Weisskopf that the mesotron measured in cosmic rays is not the strong interacting particle foreseen by Yukawa theory, Pontecorvo immediately published the paper "Nuclear capture of mesons and the meson decay" (Phys. Rev., 1947, 72, p. 246) where he writes:

"We notice that the probability $(\sim 10^{-6} \text{sec}^{-1})$ of capture of a bound negative mesons is of the order of the probability of ordinary K-capture process, when allowance is made for different in the disintegration energy and the difference in the volumes of the K-shell and of the meson orbit." And immediately concludes:

"We assume that this is significant and wish to discuss the possibility of a fundamental analogy between β -processes and processes of emission or absorption of charged mesons."

Pontecorvo first had the intuition of the $e-\mu$ universality of weak interaction !

In one of his recollection "The infancy and youth of neutrino physics" (Journal de Physique, 1982, 12, vol. 43, p. C8-221) he writes "...I became fascinated by the particle which we call now the muon". He immediately started, in collaboration with T. Hincks, to prepare some experiments with cosmic rays to study the properties of the muon decay. He was eager to answer questions like: does the muon decay in an electron and one or two neutrinos ? does it decay in an electron and a photon? Are particles other than electrons and neutrinos emitted in muon decay?



A good tennis player catching the e- μ universality by Misha Bilenky

A theoretical and an experimental physicist

Pontecorvo, as experimental physicist decides to answer the questions that the Pontecorvo theoretical physicist asks to himself.

A series of experiment performed in collaboration with E. P. Hincks gives him the answers he is looking for:

- in the muon decay the charged particle is an electron
- the decay process is kinematically consistent with a decay to one electron + two neutrinos
 no high energy photon is emitted in the 2.2 μsec decay



REG.)

MIXER

MULTI-PEN COINCIDENCE-CHART

CDI

COIN

Fig.8. Experimental arrangement for the detection of bremsstrahlung, with simplified block diagram of the circuits. The lengths of the counters and source are ~40 cm

5 10 15



A person who strongly believes in the Communism

Bruno Pontecorvo is a deeply convinced communist who believes in a true socialist society inspired by a profound sense of justice and equality.

"Le mie opinioni politiche sono di sinistra. In origine esse erano dovute soprattutto al mio odio per il fascismo e, io penso ora, al senso di giustizia inculcatomi da mio padre...., opinioni dominate da una categoria non logica che io chiamo adesso "religione", una specie di "credo fanatico"....."

(My political views are leftist. Originally, they were mainly due to my hate against the fascism and, I think now, the sense of justice instilled in me by my father. . ., political views dominated by a not logical category that now I call "religion", a kind of "fanatical belief"...)

He writes these sentences in an autobiographic note of 1988/89 for the "Enciclopedia della Scienza e della Tecnica" (Arnoldo Mondadori Editore). When he writes this note he is still convinced that with the "Perestroika" of Mikhail Gorbachev the Soviet Union will become a true democratic socialist society funded on

advanced laws and on human rights "fondata su leggi avanzate e sui diritti dell'uomo".

I have a profound respect and admiration for this man who strongly believed that such hypothetical society is not an utopia and devoted all his life in trying to realize it.

New life and new experiments in Dubna

Certainly Bruno Pontecorvo must have been enthusiastic to arrive to the Institute of Nuclear Problems beginning of November 1950, and to have the possibility to work at the five-meter synchrocyclotron, the most powerful existing at that time in the world.





Synchrocyclotron general view

	Kind of accelerated particles and their energy				
· · ·	280 MeV deuterons	560 MeV a's	480 MeV protons		
Internal target current (µA)	1	0,025	0,2-0,3		
Extracted proton flux at a distance of 10 m from the magnetic channel (cm ⁻² sec ⁻¹)			$1 \cdot 10^4$ (E _p \neq		
Neutron flux at the maximum of the angular distribution 2 m from the internal target			#00 M64)		
(cm ^{-s} sec ⁻¹)	$8 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2 \cdot 10^{3}$	$5 \cdot 10^{6}$		
Neutron energy at the maximum of the energy distribution (MeV)	120	120	380		
Halfwidth of the angular neutron distribution					
(radian)	0,17	0,35	0,55		
Process responsible for neutron production	Stripping	a-particle disintegration	charge		

Parameters of available beams in 1950

Synchrocyclotron building



Control room



Секретно Я ПАУК СССР

Л. .. БОРАТСРНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ № 3

Отдел Сектор № тов.

Начато / 9 1950





1st November 1950

Pontecorvo begins his research work with the Synchrocyclotron of the Institute of Nuclear Problems in Dubna.

Here we have his first Logbook/Notebook where Pontecorvo books his everyday thoughts, ideas, projects, drafts, and data taking, etc. during the first period of his stay in Dubna.

Thanks to Gloria Spandre and Elena Volterrani who got this precious document from Gil Pontecorvo, the son of Bruno.

Page 1 of the notebook

1st November (1950) - <u>Neutron production by cyclotron particles</u> -

120 Modope - Nentron production of cyclution presticles (1) In the experiment with the water tenk, one can yet an idea of the newtron energy of measuring the space distribution of newtrons (for example measure (221 Av.). A componention at different energies is interesting. The work be probably representative of the "crapoantim process, while the were about relaxation lengthe would be propably what is of the " see Knok on " process.

"In the experiment with the water tank, one can get an idea of the neutron energy by measuring the space distribution of neutrons (for example measure $r^2|_{Av}$)."

(At the end of 1950 the neutrons are produced with the 560 MeV α -particles beam of the cyclotron colliding on internal targets of various substances and the energy is not very well known.)

- Fishin from highly excited states -The normal brishon happens usually from low (2) Page 2: 3th November (1950) excited states (≈ 10 MeV), with high energy bourlaidure Now, as the fishim of medium "A thous, there Pontecorvo writes in this book some thoughts on must be fishions total animy from Very highly which kind of experiments with what techniques excited states, in very few with These fishing from highly critical states must idease plents of even gg, The in Un Th. The difficulty in detecting for them is "electrical" write, This is stated to can be done using the available cyclotron beams: be ~1/min. It is possible to reduce it of - Fission from highly excited states -..... The difficulty in detecting them is yes unplification. "electrical" noise. This is stated to be ~1/min. It is Ht problem - I, it possible to detect possible to reduce it by gas amplification the H4 particles inside the chamber? One could use the magnetic field of the cyclatron H⁴ problem – Is it possible to detect to move the electrons. 3 Horeofed the H^4 particles inside the chamber? One could use the magnetic field of the cyclotron to curve the electrons. According to Areamoriloun Adencangpobur, the experiment with H4 is possible "inside the tank", with an anangment of 3 counters in coincidence. 3th November According to Anatoly Alexandrovich, the experiment with H^4 is possible "inside the tank", with an arrangement of 3 Multiple metor production Multiple counters in coincidence. The muchold for multiple production, for $\begin{array}{c} \text{example} : & \text{hr} \mid \mu \rightarrow \text{p+h} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{h+h} \rightarrow \text{D+}\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\ & \text{h+h} \rightarrow \text{h+h} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{h+h} \rightarrow \text{D+}\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\ & \text{h+h} \rightarrow \text{h+h} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} \quad \text{(1)} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Invaluately, note that for a determined of } \\ \text{determined} & \text{otherwise} \\ \text{output for } \text{loge} \text{P} \text{) would de a} \\ \text{h+h} \rightarrow \text{h+h} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{\circ} \\ \text{h+h} \rightarrow \text{h+h} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{\circ} \\ \text{otherwise} \end{array}$ Multiple meson production The threshold for multiple (double) production, for example: $n+p \rightarrow p+n+\pi^++\pi^- \text{ or } n+p \rightarrow D+\pi^++\pi^$ is a 600 Met. in H. But in heavy materials the threshold $p+p \rightarrow n+n+\pi^++\pi^+$ is of the order of 300 MeV! An experiment can be done as follows: a) $2 + p \longrightarrow 2+3 + 2\pi^{-} + \begin{cases} nothing \\ 2n \end{cases}$ $p+p \rightarrow p+p+\pi^++\pi^$ $p+p \rightarrow p+n+\pi^++\pi^0$ I undrite a turget, and separate chemically the etc. dement 2+3 - Let us evaluate the (2) for the unition is ~ 600 MeV in H. But in heavy material the threshold is of the order of 300 MeV. An experiment can be done as of $2\pi^{-}$. It is: (500), (500), (7 follows: In Pt, this gives a mean freepath for double TT equal to: $l = \frac{200}{12 \times 0.6 \times 156} \text{ cm} = 3 \times 10 \text{ cm}.$

Inot in beam, inbernal seattering

It is easy to see that the mileon frattening is very important. So the internity in point 5 is mainly one to that the factors This effect is tremendous, and it is certain this freet Denterous, to positiles talso come out of the cyclation. One way of measuring this, of course, is measuring the imitation in a proportional counter

Cerenkov debertor

It may will be that the "water Cerenkow detutor, about 30 cm long, is the "perfect" venteral metric detutor. In fact pray of twole energy one biaged off, and record proton to when not defected

A organic tolution debuts, but a firm menge loss,

Acquimobe of m. f. h of TO in mileon

matter.

The mean free path of charged metors in mulei can be investigated in photophotes. To investigate the near free path of TT°, the sub way is to use as a muleou mother abroches the unlear mother itself, as the it is necessary to have a substance of such density that he m & p for interestion is & Edecay. This means that one must use as an abroches the some mindens which produces metors. Using &, study the notio <u>Thett</u> as a function of Z. Pontecorvo continues writing, up to page 9, some thoughts on which kind of experiments with what techniques can be done using the available cyclotron beams:

- <u>Proton beam, internal scattering</u> -It is easy to see that the nuclear scattering is very important. So the intensity in point <u>5</u> is mainly due to nuclear <u>scattered protons (and not coulomb)</u>. This effect is tremendous, and it is certain that Deuterons, H³ particles etc, also come out of the cyclotron. One way of measuring this, of course, is measuring the ionization in a proportional counter

<u>Cerenkov detector</u>

It may well be that the "water Cerenkov detector", about 30 cm long, is the "perfect" neutral meson detector. In fact γ ray of small energy are biased off, and recoil proton etc are not detected

Organic solution -

A organic solution detects, for a given energy loss, more electrons than for α , so this may also be used

A estimate of m.f.p of π^0 in nuclear matter

The mean free path of charged mesons in nuclei can be investigated in photoplates. To investigate the mean free path of π^0 , the only way is to use as a absorber the nuclear matter itself, as it is necessary to have a substance of such density that the mfp for interaction is $\ll I_{decay}$. This means that one must use as our absorber the same nucleus which produces mesons. Using γ , study the ratio $\sigma_{\pi^++\pi^-}$ / σ_{π^0} as a function of Z.

He continues writing up to page 9 of his notebook some thoughts on which kind of experiments with what techniques can be done using the available cyclotron beams

= Production of TT-"," unless unless collitions. n-p-There is no evidence, until now, on the processes ptu STT+n+n (1), The out evidence "TT+p+p" This evidence "TT+p+p" This evidence "T+2 -> TT T+2 -> TT This evidence "T+2 -> TT T+2 -> TT This evidence "T+2 -> TT T+2 ->

experimentally (1). For this it is necessary to do the following experiment. 1) Mention before, the H target: do n-p collision produce TT or TT? 2) Proton beone, D toget: Are negative metors produced? 3) Nontron beone, D toget: Are positive metors produced? Are positive metors produced? 4) How TT, with forton bourlowly, men charge: with Z? = Production of π^- or π^+ , in nucleon nucleon collisions n-p -

processes	p+n	π⁺+n+n {	(1),	
		π ⁻ + p + p		the only evidence
	p⊣	$Z \rightarrow \pi^{-}$		
is the	at {́			This evidence
	n	$-Z \rightarrow \pi^+$		
is not sut	ficient	to prove (1) becaus	se in complex nuclei the
process c	an be p	roduced		
<i>in</i> r	$n+n \rightarrow \pi$	-		
F	$p \rightarrow \pi$	+ collision	ns produc	ed by
secondary	/ partic	les. It is n	ecessary	to prove
				•
experime	ntally (1). For this	s is neces	ssary to do the
following	experin	ient.		

Neutron beam, H target :
 do n-p collisions produce π⁺ or π⁻?
 2) Proton beam, D target :
 Are negative mesons produced ?
 3) Neutron beam, D target :
 Are positive mesons produced ?
 4) How π⁺ / π⁻, with proton bombarding, changes with Z ?

Very interesting what he writes on page 8! ! (beginning of November 1950)

on the fromtonions of metris The 2 meter has a long life ? 10 the , and is supposed to decay into TT+ TT+TT If this is to, it must be included that I does not intersit with mulei, become, if the o induceds with mulins, then the cote of the section would be vie bost. (through the indication with uncloses of the vacuum) Let is suppose that it does not infusit Strongly. hime it is strongly produced, it must produced. as a driving product of a strongly interacting metalle But this Mo then would decoy who TT quicker thon in V. to there is a contradiction between the criptime of a strong inbroiting pointile, and its long lifetime. This contradiction, of counter, is repolved if the strong fortule is produced in poin. to from the very but the tay 2 merons have a long life, it ion be out 6) prot they are present in abundling we con concluse That there are metars and more The Empones she it cough to pluced in forths compitent pritude until now would be In -> C+2H culotin no $T \rightarrow N + V$ other inexanthet $\mathcal{Z} = K = V^+ \longrightarrow \{ \mu t^+ \}$ Theresons three been produced. 2000 Vount => TT+pron TT+pr Vo herris h+TT

On the transformations of mesons -

The τ meson has a long life >= 10⁻⁹ sec, and is supposed to decay into $\pi^++\pi^-+\pi^+$. If this is so, it must be concluded that τ does not interact with nuclei, because, if the τ interacts with nucleons then the rate of the disintegration would be very fast. (trough the interaction with nucleons of the vacuum) Let us suppose that it does not interact strongly. Since is strongly produced, it must produced as a decay product of a strongly interacting meson M. But this M then would decay into π quicker than in τ . So there is a contradiction between the <u>existence of a strong</u> <u>interacting particle and his long lifetime</u>. This contradiction, of **course**, is resolved if the strongly interacting particle is produced in pair.^(*) So from the very fact that a) τ mesons have a long life, b) that they are present in abundance, - we can conclude that there are mesons (not necessarily the τ mesons) which are strongly produced in pairs.

(incidentally these considerations explain the fact that until present day cyclotron no other mesons that π mesons have been produced.) A consistent picture until now would be:

$$\mu \rightarrow e + 2\nu$$

$$\pi \rightarrow \mu + \nu$$

$$\mu + 2\nu$$

$$\tau^{+} = \mathsf{K} = \mathsf{V}^{*} \rightarrow \{ \stackrel{\cdot}{\mu^{+}} + \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} \\ \mu^{+} + \pi^{0} ?$$

$$V_{0light} \rightarrow \pi^- + \mu^+ \text{ or } \pi^+ + \mu^- ?$$

$$V_{0heavy} \rightarrow p + \pi$$



^(*) here, at the end of 1950, without the notion of strangeness, a deep intuition is needed to propose a production process in pair to solve this contradiction. ^(**)maybe just a coincidence! Two lines before he writes $\mu \rightarrow e+2\nu$ while here he writes $\mu \rightarrow e+\nu + \nu$ engraving the neutrinos with two different signs. Two profound intuitions in a single page ?! On page 9 he writes only the following few lines "On the multiple production of mesons", while the remaining part of the page, written in a reversed order, is the end of the draft of a paper.

- On the multiple production of memory-

In driftinsking the flowrenon of multiple feoduction, form on experimental point of view, it is necessary to member the possibility that an approxime of multiple production may be given of the feoduction of heavy metrus (spin integer, there interestion with matter), which of course, decay into it metrus immediately piving the approxime of multiple production, while in fact these maybe, one postile production, while in fact these maybes only the fact method for the infact these more only one postile production while in fact these more of the fact method for the infact of the symptometry of the fact method for the infact of the symptometry of the fact method for the infact of the symptometry of the fact method for the infact of the symptometry of the fact method for the infact of the symptometry of the fact method for the symptometry of the symptometry of the fact of the symptometry of the fact of the symptometry of the fact of the symptometry of

- On the multiple production of mesons -

In discussing the phenomenon of multiple production, from an experimental point of view, it is necessary to remember the possibility that an <u>appearance</u> of multiple production may be given by the production of <u>heavy</u> mesons (spin integer, strong interaction with matter), which of course decay into π mesons immediately, giving the appearance of multiple production, while, in fact there maybe only one particle produced per hit.

. роцтэт

.... with a compensating filter of Al (2.5cm) in front of the collimator, equivalent (2.5cm) in... This method is preferable for small angle of detect(ion) to the (?)

Apparently Pontecorvo, after the first 9 pages, stops writing on this Notebook and he resumes writing only the following year (September 14^{th} , 1951, see next slide) turning the book on the opposite side, starting from the last page and writing in the Notebook until March $\geq 24^{th}$, 1952.

In dispussion the planners of multiple freduction, form on experimentation bound of bound in the production consumer the particles from and on approximation with a function the production of the production with a function of the independence where the production with months, where of some of another the production with independence of another of the production with independence of the production with the provent the approximation of the production with independence of the production with the independence of the production with the independence of the production with the production with the second of the production with the production of the production with the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production of the production of the independence of the production of the production

- On the number le production of mermon -

.... with a compensating filter of Al (2.5cm) in front of the collimator, equivalent (2.5cm) in... This method is preferable for small angle of detect(ion) to the(?) method.

In discussing the phenomenon of multiple production, from an experimental point of view, it is necessary to remember the possibility that an <u>appearance</u> of multiple production may be given by the production of <u>heavy</u> mesons (spin integer, strong interaction with matter), which of course decay into π mesons immediately, giving the appearance of multiple production, while, in fact there maybe only one particle produced per hit.

- znosam to noitouborg algitlum ant nO-

Pontecorvo resumes writing on the Notebook the following year, September 14, 1951, starting from the last page (n.100) turning the book on the opposite side. He has now decided what to do and he is ready to make an "Experiment on production of mesons by neutrons":

~** 0000 10000 500000 $\Pi + h \rightarrow n + \gamma$ IT the ant TP T+N-2/1+1 +h >T+h 2 N.N. 2=

π° It is necessary : 1) The " hardination 2) the " unverter" obsorber" 4) The abroclus of y lodistion T A=> The codiator must be a phile of diameter . I tockp: Diometer Dum foraff. = m.f.p. fr J. (> The converter must be I cm It, dea equal to the country they onea. A > The absolver between counters must be 1. Am on, x she cynol to the thay comber moll. T-> Must be about ion thin of Pb and Ian thick of Cu to nee Durt the controlin is wolly f), don equal to partle they counted The genuity as follows. Converter 000 Thinkness VIA-A The detuting combers (for oway, one the husle they to increase the outionidence

Experiment on production of mesons by neutrons: 1) π^0 It is necessary: 1) the "radiator" R 2) the "converter" C 3) the "absorber" A between the 2 last counters 4) the absorber of γ radiation T $R \rightarrow$ The radiator must be a "sphere ".... $C \rightarrow$ The converter must be 1 cm Pb,.... $A \rightarrow$ The absorber between counters $T \rightarrow$ Must be about 1 cm thin of Pb,....

14 September

The geometry as follows:

And he continues writing what we could call today the "Technical Proposal" of the experiment... In September 1951, less than one year after his arrival in Dubna, Bruno Maximovich Pontecorvo is a respected group leader of a group of young physicists (Vladimir, Anatol, Alex, Adolph and George Selivanov). In group meetings he assigns the work to be done by each member, defines the program to be fulfilled, etc. as for instance is done in these three pages:

1) Vladimir : . Finish work on H4, in the Anotol. Alex. bresent varient, + report. Help of 2) Adolph : Finish work on metons with hordioactive indicators + report. Have B counters ready. Here a counter ready 3) Serve 1) Finish work on duty freton + report -2) Conclude on the work of production of Their C by mentions * _ 3) Initiate electronic detection of myong 4) Finish one Schound considence + runtillator (10⁸ sec), on the feitheriple of 1-2, 1-3, 2-3 double cameidance 10⁸, thiple 10⁶ Hidentol. 100 auidental. 4) Anotal : finish work + white reports a) onguloa b) total work on seconday neutrons

1) Measure the effective duty frieton of the gelotion of follows - Fritmis: a) Measure the repolving time of the system (using continous sources). b) Measure the accidental hotes when the gelation works. Experiment a: In the gulation building with a distance of two mags of about 10m, and with 2 sources, measure: 1) Single hotes, our coincidence: (D-A-Binovolo from this we get zoone without 2) four with no toucke for consting volues in subsequent experiment. Experiment b: Experiment 6: 1) When exclusion works, fingle hotes and enimidence hotes. Meaturment of d, duty factor. Take 2 pingle combins. + **工**) Put them on the beam, for eway, with from each other and measure A B (AB) Varify that Varify mot the cyclation is constant. 宜) 亚) offer phutdown, A, B (AB). \mathbb{I} Write all date about to the yelotion V) If cooperiments reorougly reproduce ble, VI they verious conditions of exclotion.

The activity of the group is rather well documented daily

-Workshop Time -15 Centralin Tey yourson. A 124/ 180 Telescope To test The volions coincidence and outicoincidence officiency. Nobe: inthis make the following experiments love memoria: Rotat. * 480 46/264 94 B 2m tunes the yelrtim was construct, but will the monitor ! Toke me time, 0 Big pink box : CD 1958 ye 560 By bluen box: 2 1263 55180 It was devided to could # To that the BLD-A 20 ferry willes turole box: A 885 yuuron. other burdle they : B. time for is will be: 885 c non bepinopolu - Ist experiment, testing a notucal pr metrus-Teleptope G.I 1801 1400 977 /3mg 514 4 864 В 180 Other teleprope. Massan (AGBG) 669 CD 000000-B fine but 656 fundle work 100 the choniel Figl (ACBC')= 57864+0 | 5684+1 BLD-A 10×64+60 100 Small chasti See non bepurepa 2 ×4+3 100 Rent + Verdenin (ABC-C)= 15x4+0 1436 A 660. Jantopunk -605 >x64 35×4+2 (ABC-C) 41+4+2 693 C 1000 /3m withno D 700 Voltageon BCD-A 5×64 + 26 Of these: = Februar 16 2 24h felivour Fooline a novemablion in Ser horebeforiegna 3 infinders I experiment 883 A 993/3m 421 B 13 core the bilande 643 CP 00000000 651 2) reports 000000000 BCD-A 2×64+27 3) 2 cmolotr Foyz Torbako a nogeniabrion n a norebeguingoen (AC'BC)= 119 /2m 4 4) Tonemour BY WEIL 847 (ABC-c'): 53/2m A 371 B (A BL- C') = 140 1007/3~ 612 C 615 D 27 Febbraio - Wormshop time: wovallac 4×64+17 BCD-A mC This is no good . C Konbegniefold Cu 1806 2 telescore - Repeat experiment, often change of electronic. -(AC'BC) = 54×4+1 51×4+3 982/3m 400 hs 534 Fcy2-B Fe shield 634 25 hs 1 (ABC-C')= 15 x4+0 15 x4+3 677 Þ thashi 89 86 (ABC-C')= 42 ×4+3, 43×4+2 30 41 BCD-A 6864+33

Workshop time requested and used to build support and mechanical structures Measurements to test the various coincidence and anticoincidence efficiencies Data taking

The activity of the group is rather well daily documented



Final results on meson production by neutrons

The speech of the Group Leader

A close collaboration between the various members of an experimental group is vital for the success of one experiment. However it is not always easy to ensure that the group collaborate efficiently as it is well known to every group leader; and the Pontecorvo's group was not an exception. Here is the draft of what Pontecorvo says in the group meeting of March 6, 1952:

March 6, 1552 meen! This means that GIV. will belp, become & IV wonth to estimate in under V Hype and not to been memoration We have this meeting in help time to some reoryounst: we with his equivience of electroms design and of our years. The first turne is that there is a construction, other members of proup. This new addition. The second is that we mapt have intered colloloirthin must be finantly. This colloloirtin. must alto be 2 ways, i.e. in the indust of all. abientions more frequently. For this we will made a tennoise every week of ~14, a thinkday at 6 a This tennoise will be on informal organisations advice and be thanked withe oble the Of perficilly, what does this mean representation II That he will perhapped in experiments will have 2 points: a) Briefly song menty of years meon: will definite the propress of the week I) G. I will help in jewed with advice not and B) There will be a hif mention other would of the poup and delethorna peoblems of what boybunches prograss in the war is interesting II) The help anot let In parties in the week's new our out focuin formulsof I) In addition to ordivice, These will be The trink is the most important tring hope concele form. Fire some that we have to childrense. In my opinion performate Appeartus, and even given the way of construction I una metrin' holotoms invite out youp were to not sine besting in other words full collocation sobisfactory, France When anang cramples Were members out yroup, for example, went That secons, that on a ger in trans, steeler for advice to other group, while there exist in our promp a very will quistiful many the inferend GI will took make in this sile of the theching our your, because of these hilotions, could not more use of the thought by of toothe wing I think the out into not physics Benn word that on the work in the gold buildy Monitor III) The devotion This applies And from the Thinness III) It is essential That, generally speaking, now whose is fould And also we unertast ditures, in general, the parts, that the out ery theme that more on len his own think in which we must agree, is to forget the to aunt that the start of was not to high and (we must forget show it radically, ofgoestas. This wear, severally not Mufting of on the on the action to other. IV) the work tother on the many How con we change it wowirdly, for the food of one to tol scientific peopleton of the your for well of which is also Epeobly, Cost on the continu to avoi only more had In ou This necessing that it is contaction Amore his own teine. This is newson of colloloustion in our poup. What does this 6 Esolution,

The speech of the Group Leader

<u>March 6, 1952</u>

We have this meeting in relation to some reorganization of our group. The first thing is that there is a new addition. The second is that we must have internal discussion more frequently. For this we will make a seminar every week, of $\approx 1^h$, on Thursday at 6^h ...omissis...

The third is the most important thing that we have to discuss. In my opinion personal relations inside our group were very bad not satisfactory. There were many examples where members of our group, for example, went for advice in electronics to other group, while there exists in our group a very well qualified man in electronics G.I. ...omissis..... the situation was not satisfactory and we must change it radically, for the interest of the total scientific production of the group. For this is necessary that it is established more collaboration in our group.



What does this mean? This means that G Iv. will help, with his experience of electronic design and contruction, other members of the group. This collaboration must also be 2 ways, i.e. in the interest of all. Specifically, what this reorganization means:

I) G.I. will help in general with advice other member of the group on electronic problems

II) In addition to advice, there will be more concrete form. Give scheme apparatus, and even of constructing and testing, in other words full collaboration on a scientific thema.

III) It is essential that, generally speaking, every thema has more or less his own apparatus. IV)....omississ,Cast (?) and Gean (?) continue to work only with George Ivan.. on his own theme. This is necessary because G Iv wants to work(?) in nuclear physics and not to be working on constructing apparatus.

V) The interest of other people in the group will be of course that will have advice and be trained, of G. I. that he will partecipate in experiments

VI) Remember is good what is for everybody

The problem of non-collaboration in the group between the electronics expert and the other members is perceived by Pontecorvo as a general problem in experiments of particle physics, very much present today even to a much greater extent. He then writes a document on how he thinks this problem should be solved.

- Electronics and Nuclear Physics -Bretent day received in mulear physics repaires a great deal of modern destronic apparatus. Until a feur years ago, there it (was the postice natural that (the experimental physicists to produced himself all the electronic equipment necessary for his experiments. However the postice is not efficients and the most be clear to everybody, and electronic promps, prosincing "stondard equipment our developing new advanced terrigues is very derivable. Without an electronic years the production of scientific results me infer fine contradiction between the tweet to a product on interest for the contradiction between the tweet to a product on the induce the tweet of the contradiction the individual contaction of the formation The por Accollationaic george requires a good collaboration The presence of on distinuic years and only is necessary to produce the large quantity of equipment meening for plynic iseach. It is meening also because it is not possible to expect that every plynicitien the laboratory composition first class equipment as a "professional" electranic mon." There we of course men which manage to be very competent in the time of electronics and in the science of unclion physics, but there are exception: If we thouse there will be the men which an advance my knowledge in the files of under prices physics out of electronics. If we expert that every new in the cluberton ungo be met, we some of the " win fortor

Construction unit the mile, we summe and of the minin forton construction of the present due high reductivity, i.e. The specialization. The specialization in prince and funipulsity and a necessity however unfloorent it may be.

The presence of on electionic, group requires not our meters

the lettinic purp but dro

b) one about equality of " status" between the men involute emploition is out me un injust one "unclear plymes". Two bys constition is often about print is my

The telestionies important, because in some physics labourtonies there is the tendency to put hunder place on a higher plane then detinis. This "involve" is without foundation, and is the full the first will be the of the under Abyrists. It is the protouper discovery of a new postile is use impertant that the Realisation of a Hickorolt, but it is equally the mot the introduction of negotive feed link, a tradevelopment of the travelling wave amplifie is uncho more important tum that the observation debenicosting of a cutous figuresting. In Electionics and propries are 2 ports of physics of the address " house his is kept clock it is imported a collobootion between tecthance and timeteon physics become the forming twent to will don't frage to diving profession and do sumpling plans. This in general In this work threaded la Right totology totoms the phofessional becouse &. electronic mon will wont to more in experimente out The pointentity of criptures of on clerkonic proup. as It, on the contrary the electronic mon will feel that his workers a precised, mot be con your particle of the with development of new reporters, onen be will peneory continue to work in a full, and and the

Draft of the document on the problem of collaboration between experts on electronics and in nuclear physics

- Electronics and Nuclear Physics -

Until a few years ago, it was natural for the experimental physicist to produce himself all the electronics equipment necessary for his experiments. However nowdays the quantity of electronic equipment necessary for research is so great that an electronic group, providing "standard equipment" and developing new advanced techniques is very desirableomissis The presence of an electronic group not only is necessary to produce the large quantity of equipment necessary for physics research. It is necessary also because it is not possible to expect that every physicist in the laboratory can design and produce first class equipment as a "professional" man....omissis.. The specialization in science and techniques todays is a necessity, **however unpleasent it may be**. The presence of on electronic group requires not only continuous control and discussions between the nuclear physicists and the electronic group but also an absolute equality of "status" between the profession in "electronics" and the profession on "nuclear physics". This point is very important,

because in some physics laboratories there is the tendency to put nuclear physics on higher plane then electronics.....omissis.....It is true that the discovery of a new particle is more important that, for example, the realization of a stabilovolt (?), but it is equally true that the introduction of negative feed-back, or the development of the travelling (?) wave amplifier is much more important that for example, the study of a certain p , 3n reaction. Electronics and nuclear physics are 2 parts of physics of equal importance (?). If this artificial behaviour (?) is kept, clearly it is impossible a collaboration between professional electronic men and professional nuclear physicists: the professional electronic man will want to move (?) nuclear experiments, and consequently disappears the possibility of existance of an electronic group. If, on the contrary, the electronic man will feel that his work in electronics is appreciated, that he can gain prestige by the development of new apparatus, then he will generally prefers to work in such field.

The Teacher

At the end of February 1952 Pontecorvo is probably doing some teaching because he writes in the Book this memo in "Italian". In the three following pages he writes these formulae and evaluates the ranges for proton and deuteron in Cu and Al at various energies

1) Masse in Mit e, wermen, in D CHONMONE CANALA BRITHS WARNON CUR -22:10 2) Relegione two mounts (Aut), Total may (in Mat) Kimbe every (in Mit), (5 3) Istrazioni un nomogrouma per konce B, momento, KE, Total Eng ground tim la massa di una for hullige una di 948,2135,0,01 Samal add K - purtequeents hunges 封 1 provimites 5) 6) roments: metrivista 11-18 89

Dare formule approssimate for per:

- 1) Masse in MeV e, mesone π , mesone μ , p, D
- 2) Relazione tra momento (MeV/c), Total energy (in MeV), Kinetic energy (in MeV), β
- Istruzioni in monogramma(?) per trovare β, momento, KE, Total energy quando si sa la massa di una particella e una di queste quantità
- 4) Ranges
- 5) Rossi units
- 6) Momenta: relativistic

The Teacher

Around the end of February 1952 Pontecorvo is probably doing some teaching and he writes in these three pages few relativistic relations and evaluates the ranges for proton and deuteron in Cu and Al at various energies



the set of the second second			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
-Uni	ts Electi	m help bivitbils.	
auntity	Symbol	Definition	CITE STATES
1 Charge	e	charge of electric	hange of protons ou
) Pobential	5	volt	E
1 Velocity	С	velocity of light	(MUT)
Lenght	cin	centimeter	Proton (in Cu 0.25 0.7
Time	<u>Curr</u>	time necessary for light	(py/cm) Lin Al 0.15 0.5
Energy	cV	aning of on dution accelerates of 1 voet.	Everyia -> 240/21
Mass	e V Ch	muss of a factile whose hest every is 1 et	Birton - 53 G
nonentum	V s	momentum of a forbile for which total mang? -	AL 4-3 51
Electric field	15 an	hest evenge = 1 (or momentum of a particule before Evergy is 1 et markening (*)	
Force	ev	force acting on on elabim	Everyie > 20 40 (Mct)
Taxa No.			Dentum (Cu 0.5 1.5
induction (B)	C cm	field in Which a poeticle	(grifant) _ AC 0.3 [1.1
		bund unit charge hoss a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	15.77	When trovelling perfendicul.	(Filt) in Al
	- Aler ni	to the field (1 0% cm = = 1 fours)	200 3.03 3.58
. (n ertin	500 1	350 2.21 2.59 400 2.08 2.43
n. Brewh	= mR AL		500 1.83 2.20 99 ⁶⁰⁰ 1.76 2.05



hange of protons and hange of Dentehms -

Energia (Mctr)	\rightarrow	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Proton Range ~	in Cu	0.25	0.75	1.4-	2.4	3.5	5.0	6.5	7.7	10.0	12
(qry/cut)	-in Al	0,15	0.55	1,2	1.9	2.9	4.0	5.3	6.5	8.0	3,5
Everyia-											
(net)		240	280	320	360	400	440	480	520	560	600
Proton_	→{	53	68	86	104	122	/42	/62	/83	204	226
(the forme)	Æ	4 3	56	72	86	104	120	137	156	173	193
	{										
hes	to sk										
Energia (Mct)	->	20	40	60	80	100	120	140	160	180	200
Dentum Ronze in	Scu	0,5	1.5	2.8	4.8	7	10	13	15.4	20.0	24
(grofant)	LAC	0.3	1.1	2.4	3.8	5.8	8	10.6	13	16.0	13
								Pa	white d	h' ener	gia
(ES)	Ch	Ae							(MeV	19p/con	-)
200	3.03	3,5	8								
250	2.65	3.1	2								
350	2,21	2,5	3								
400 E00	2,08	2.0	13								

In this book there is the story of some experiments on meson production by neutrons and protons both on complex nuclei and protons performed by Bruno Maximovich Pontecorvo and his small group of young physicists at the Dubna Cyclotron. He continues to use this book for drafts, sketchs, notes and mainly as logbook for data taking of the experiments performed during six months from 14 September 1951 until end of ($\geq 24^{th}$) March 1952. The last few pages are a draft of the paper "Production of neutral mesons by neutrons", which concludes the experiment proposed at the beginning of reversed side of the book, and published (see next slide) as internal report in Russian (B.M.Pontecorvo, G.I.Selivanov, RINP, 1951).

- Production of neutral metors in a by neutrons. Soloma: A) Introduction B) Appenditus C) Absolute experiment in Carlo D) Actadian magnetication Discussions (C) Absolute experiments (C) Pelotin measurements F) Discussions (C) (multiplication - fully (C))

Introduction.

Where the inderable amount of data have been published in the last grows on the production of chose metrus by protons in the function in the function of chose metrus by mentions has been to for only the object of a thorst commission and the production of metrus by newtring the production. The following telle turnmenting the present day information on this fully. Table I

It is the from this table that production of choryd and ventual mesons in the collinians had not us been appearing and protion complet mulei too the completent of ventual metors by vertures not spet her observed. For this corn, Becompe of the elsence of date in this public, it was matured presents the continuities it was matured presents the continuities the from another work we report experiments the from another work we report experiments the from another work we report experiments (and observe of our laboratory, we have investigated (and observe of the forst time), the production of neutral mesons in Hydrogen and complex under of neutrons. The correction of method - <u>Production of neutral mesons by neutrons</u> -Schema: () Introduction P) Appendix () Absolute experiment in (e

A) Introduction B) Apparatus C) Absolute experiment in Carb D) Relative measurements Discussion in relation to production of mesons E) Relative measurements F) Discussion a) production b) λ G) Conclusions - - Spectrum

Introduction -

While a considerable amount of data have been published ⁽¹⁾ in the last years on the production of mesons by protons from accelerators, the production of charged mesons by neutrons has been so far only the object of a short communication ⁽²⁾ and the production of neutral mesons by neutrons so far had not been observed. The following table summarize the present day information on this subject.

Table I

It is clear may be seen from this table that production of charged and neutral mesons in elementary n-p collisions has not yet been observed, and not even in complex nuclei. The production of neutral mesons by neutrons has not yet been observed. For this reason, Because of the absence of data in this subject, it was natural presents some a considerable interests

In the present work we report experiments we have made utilizing the neutrons from the syncrocyclotron of our laboratory, we have investigated (and observed for the first time), the production of neutral mesons in Hydrogen and complex nuclei by neutrons.

First internal reports on π -mesons production

The results of all experiments carried on by Bruno Maximovich Pontecorvo with his group of young researchers in the period 1951-1954 at the five-meter cyclotron were published as internal reports in Russian, some of those were also published later in 1955. In these early experiments the production of single charged and neutral π mesons with proton and neutron beams on proton and complex nuclei were performed: The production of π^0 with a neutron beam on protons and on complex nuclei was studied for the first time in the world (B.M.Pontecorvo, G.I.Selivanov, RINP, 1951) and (B.M.Pontecorvo, G.I.Selivanov,

RINP, 1952; Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 102, 253 (1955)).

- Industin of newhol metors we a ly new hours -	TISEPALAD	
A) Introduction B) Apparatus C) Absolute experiment in Carlo D) Botation		
meagurements Discussion in other to participant and rate E) Relating	TORSOD -MITCHAITAN -HAAR	
nermanuts F) Discussion (5) anchisions futum	infaureptile (N. P. MB. BPFIKOB)	the second s
Introduction. not	· St. aufordink 1952 .	25 September 1952
Weterike A considerable amount of date have been published		
in the last years on the production of chance methods by		
knotanys the fundation of another havens by	HAY'HEAR OTHER	
newtrons has been to tok only the object of a thous community	CINCTOP # 62	
and the production of mandate tracking by building to		
hummenised the execut day information in twis		
intract, & THE	OTYET	DEPODT
Table I	OFPASOBALUE \mathcal{T}° -MESCHOB B ($n - p$) = $(n - d)$	$\frac{REFUR}{I}$
	CTOREDBISHITX.	<u>Production of π° mesons in (n-p) and (n-d)</u>
The interest in table to to production of charged		consions
and ventral mesons in mon collinions was not yet been abyerry		Contion london
and some in complet mulei the soon platecher of wanted	Havarshink cestopa # 62 mpopecop (E.M. Restancomo)	Professor (R M Pontesorue)
maying by well in not bet been observed. For this worm,	The transmission	Frotessor (B.M.Fontecorvo)
Because of the observe of darte in that they well,	прорессор (Байа) онтекорво)	Executors:
it was multiched prepents there anterests	Ст. няженер (Сеарменов Г.И.)	Professor (B M Pontecorvo)
have and the to and the and		Engineer (Selivanov G.I.)
the wind working the newspires from the		
sprusoqueren of our coording, we made inversion		
(our ortered for the forto rul), the production of		
the mutice in The formally The investigation of a	1952 r.	
I new was a fire repeator on foroduction of hearthal		

Draft in English from the Notebook (~11/18 March 1952)

Internal Report in Russian dated September 25,1952 kindly provided to us by Gil Pontecorvo

First internal reports on π -mesons production

AKAMEMUH HAYK COMBA COBETCHILA COMMANYCTIVIECHUK PECHFEMUK

661. 6/7.562 Mparuba

"YTEEPEMAO"

Начальник Гидротехнической лаборатории Ай СССР доктор физико-матем. наук

/М.Г. Жещеряков/

иарта 1952 года.

отчет

попятка детектировать здерное рассвяние Л -мезоноз с обменом заряда при покощи радиоактивных индикатороз.

> Руководитель: проф. Понтекорво Б. Исполнители: проф. Понтекорво Б. инж. Цухин А.И.

March 1952

 $\frac{\text{REPORT}}{\text{Detection of charge exchange scattering}}$ of π mesons on nuclei by the method of radioactive indicators

Leader: Prof. Pontecorvo B. Executors: Prof. Pontecorvo B. Eng. Mukhin A.I.

Internal Report in Russian dated March 1952 kindly provided to us by Gil Pontecorvo Attempt to detect the tractioning of The metons by

Introduction

The interaction of TT mesons with mucher was first investigated in the commit ray region, with conflicting on interestion membre for for the TI meters produced in survey of culotivishic forbieles of the order of the "genetical "were frepoter, while Diccioni with wombe funiques, altorived in meon fee forth > 10 times the powetwich were fear forth. This description devicent the to the Current on couse ellon in the interprototion of Riterary, when work with outificial IT mems from acceleration was initiated. Perfort The results of B Thigh (inpulaye) should definited pust To work interest Notes mulei with a non section of the order of permittion It occurred to us thirt from autians of this order could be defected with the method of hadioactive indicators. In fact the intermities () of the order of 10t (m) see Which are available inja bear form 29 mons) I veloptic toldition of the weton ghe Twom met (my forfuents to pertine & store Backers) It with our energ ton given bethe unders ingoffer to pertince to

> Draft in English from the Notebook (~ October 5 - December 25, 1951)

<u>Attempt to detect the charge exchange</u> <u>scattering of π mesons by the method of</u> <u>radioactive indicators</u>

Introduction

The interaction of π mesons with nuclei was first investigated in the cosmic ray region, with conflicting results. Brown⁽¹⁾ found an interaction mean free path in photographic plates for the π mesons produced in showers of relativistic particles of the order of the "geometrical" mean free path, while Piccioni, with counter techniques, obtained a mean free path > 10 times the geometrical mean free path. This discrepancy was removed when work with artificial π mesons from accelerator was initiated....omissis... It occurred(?) to us that nuclear interaction with cross section of this order could be detected with the method of radioactive indicators. In fact with the meson intensities of the order of 10⁴- $10^{5}/cm^{2}/sec$, which are available in a beam from the cyclotron of our laboratory it can be estimated that in favorable circumstances it is possible to detect in light elements the production of radioelement with cross section only 10⁻²⁷ cm². This report will be mainly concerned with an attempt to detect the reaction $\pi^+ + B^{11} \rightarrow \pi^0 + C^{11}$ from the radioactive indicators.

The experiments on π meson-nucleon interaction performed at Dubna in the early 50s are certainly of great interest for Pontecorvo in understanding, at least phenomenologically, the strong interactions in the π meson-nucleon scattering.

However he was very excited by discovery in the 1947 of unstable new baryon and meson particles (the so called V particles) and, as we have seen at page 8 of his notebook, already at the end of 1950, he was puzzled by the

controduction between the existence of a strong inbrouting portile, out its long lifetime. This controlouitin, of course, is repolved if the strong prostile is Cloud-chamber photograph of a V^{0} particle decaying into two charged particles produced in pork. (G. D. Rochester, C. C. Butler, Nature 160, 855 (1947))

In the "Recollections on the establishment of the weak interaction notion" (B.Pontecorvo, JINR Preprint E1-85-583, Dubna, 1985) he writes: "Since 1947 I had been expecting new weak processes, so that I was very happy about all this. I felt that the notion of weak interaction became wider once again, but in new process. ...omissis.....On the basis of simple arguments I introduced (B.Pontecorvo, JETP, 1955, vol. 29, p. 140, with quotations on previous papers.), independently of Pais (Pais A., Phys.Rev., 1952, vol86, p. 655) the idea of pair production of the new particles, more exactly the pair production of hyperons and kaons."

In one internal report^(*) dated 1953, Pontecorvo and his group discuss how and why the production of τ and V particles should be studied:

Тема 48. <u>Методы регистрации частиц класса "С" и "V"</u> с помощью электронных устройств и камеры Вильсона. Theme 48. <u>Detection method of the class of particles "τ"</u> <u>and "V" with electronic detectors and Wilson chamber</u>.

Group leader: Pontecorvo B.M.

Гуководитель: Понтекорво Б.М.

In this report there are several discussions presented by members of the group on the possible detection techniques of these particles while at point 2 Pontecorvo himself describes the reasons of interest of such experiment.

2. <u>О процессах образования тлаелых</u> <u>мезонов и У -частии</u>.

Исполнитель: Понтекорво Б.И.

Наимсан отчет¹⁾, в котором излагаются некоторые замечания јеноменологического жајактеја о процессах објазопания тижелых мезонов и V -частиц. Основнае идом этой работы обсужца лись на семинаре в нашей лаботатории в 1951г. Хотя представленные рассмот ения имеют хајактер поисков, они мотут помота еђормулијовать рабочие типотскан при интерпретации экспериментольных деницх и при обсуждении возможности постановки экспериментов по образованир новых частиц.

Выводы габоты следующие:

1) Тот такт, что в соудатениях пли высокой энергии с большой вероятностью образуются иезоны (мезоны класса ^C), распадающиеся с продолжительным втеменем жизни на ^S-мезоны, указывает на то, что годдение этих мезонов не может происходить по скеме:

(𝒜) → (𝒜) + (𝔅) (刘 = нуклон)

 Аналогично, тот ракт, что в соударениях при высокой внертим с большой вероятностью образуются частицы (тяделые нужлоны класса V), распадажийсся с продолжительных временем

амени на нуклоны и \overline{x} -мезоны, указывает на то, что роздение зтих частиц не может происходить по схеме:

 $(\mathscr{I}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{V}) + (\mathscr{R}).$

 Педиолагается, что незоны классе *т* и частицы класса V ноявляются вместе согласно скеме;

 $(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}) + (\mathcal{I}) \quad (1)$

Таким обгазом однопроменно генаются трудностя, связанные с продолжительных променен жизни чостиц огасса V и мевонов класса C. Ктоме того, эта схема подразумевает сильное поамиодебситие можди муклонами и V-частицими.

4) Роли схема (1) верна, то следует ожидать, что в благоприятных условиях должны осуществляться квазя-стабильные системы из нуклонов и V -частиц.

Некоторые экспериментальные указания о справедливости этих выводов появились в литературе²⁾.

Ниде ны обсудим вопросы, связенные с порогами образования V° -частиц при предположении, что в схеме (1) под V подразумевается известная V° -частица.

Очевидно, что сечение реакции

N + N → N+V

доляно быть крайне малым при справедливости сжемы (1). Сле-

2. On the production of heavy mesons and V - particles.

Executor: Pontecorvo B.M.

A report has been written **[B. Pontecorvo, Report numb. 850, 1953]**, in which certain comments of phenomenological character concerning the production of heavy mesons and V -particles are presented. **The main ideas of this work have been discussed at the seminar of our laboratory in 1951.** Although the issues presented are of a search nature, they may help in formulating operative hypotheses for interpretation of experimental data and the discussion of future experiments relevant to the production of new particles.

The conclusions are the following:

1. The fact that high energy collisions with a **high probability result in the production of mesons** (mesons of the τ class), **decaying** with a long lifetime into π -mesons indicates that **the production of such mesons cannot proceed according** to the following scheme: $(N) \rightarrow (N) + (\tau)$ ($N \equiv$ nucleon).

2. Similarly, the fact that high energy collisions with a high probability result in the production of particles (heavy nucleons of the V class), decaying with a long lifetime into nucleons and π -mesons indicates that the production of these particles cannot proceed according to the following scheme: (N) \rightarrow (V) + (π).

3. The assumption is made that mesons of the class and particles of the V class appear together according to the scheme: $(N) \rightarrow (V) + (\tau)$ (1)

Thus, difficulties related to the long lifetime of particles of the V class and of mesons of the τ class are resolved simultaneously. Moreover, this scheme implies strong interaction between nucleons and V -particles.

4. If the scheme (1) holds true, then quasi-stable systems of nucleons and V -particles can be expected to be realized in favorable conditions.

Certain experimental indications of the validity of the above conclusions have appeared in the literature [W.B.Fowler et al., Phys.Rev 91 (1953) 1062].

Below we shall discuss issues related to the production thresholds of V⁰-particles under the assumption that V in the scheme (1) is considered to be a known V⁰-particle.

Evidently, the cross section of reaction

 $N+N \rightarrow N+V$

should be extremely small, if the scheme (1) is valid.

(*)(kindly provided and partially translated for us from Russian by the son Gil Pontecorvo)

In 1953, the fact that particles produced via strong interaction and decaying with a long lifetime must be produced in pair was not completely clear from an experimental point of view.

As usual, the theoretical physicist Pontecorvo, as brilliant experimenter, decides to clarify this point by himself :

an experiment was done trying to observe the formation of Λ^0 -particles in collisions of 670 MeV protons with carbon nuclei (Baladin M.P., Balashov B.D., Zhukov V.A., Pontecorvo B.M., Selivanov G.I. Report of the Inst. for Nuclear Problem, Acad. Sci. USSR, 1954). The conclusion of the experiment was that:

"The small value of the cross section for the formation of Λ^0 particles in the interaction of protons with an energy of 670 MeV with complex nuclei agrees with the hypothesis of the fundamental transformation of a nucleon according to the scheme (N) \leftrightarrow (Λ^0) + (heavy meson)."

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 93, NUMBER 4

Production of Heavy Unstable Particles by Negative Pions*

W. B. FOWLER, R. P. SHUTT, A. M. THORNDIKE, AND W. L. WHITTEMORE Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York

(Received November 10, 1953)

FEBRUARY 15. 1954

The production in pair of V-particles and heavy mesons was later observed in $\pi^- p$ collision with π^- of 1.5 BeV from the BNL Cosmotron by W.B.Fowler et al. (*Phys. Rev. 93, 861 (1954)*)

The important contributions given by Pontecorvo to the problem of understanding the properties of the "strange particles" are not enough acknowledged to him by the scientific community.

He was probably the first to have the intuition that the contradictory behavior of these strange particles can be explained if are produced in pair.

Unfortunately this idea remained hidden in internal reports written in Russian, not accessible for long time to the vast community of physicists outside the Soviet Union. We have another Logbook/Notebook which covers the period from February to November 1954. Thanks to Gil Pontecorvo who kindly gave us. This Notebook is written in Russian.



I can't read Russian, however at the end of the Notebook there is a the draft that looks to me the draft of the published paper "The possibility of the formation of Λ^{0} -particles by protons with energies up to 670 MeV" (Baladin M.P., Balashov B.D., Zhukov V.A., Pontecorvo B.M., Selivanov G.I., Report of the Inst. for Nuclear Problem, Acad.Sci. USSR, 1954.)

DEPAHLE-TTONUMKa Hattrogenal offerstonet No zaciny up Sousappupotes yelapoya upminatu +reprisen 670 MAR. - 5aranyun, Myxob, Tonnel, lembourb-The wast Briefor -Topon Joakaput Prelinger mis when an feakingun ph osponional a print to have there in low 91 Nyklon + Nyklon - Nyklon + Nu As satisfy zahredonion strephen formaniano Sacasipe godenne Spine Knowne walker an capabey habour a B Latine parentorization algae A. Then to We dry (1) chepolon clone, a men cubich on peretunity and a poster the post sking a united south "specialional poper" objectional to racing cortains 7) Manutara 2 m Demotion Page 100 twicen soper misbrah popor onjege lemith Marzala Klaus 2 " a withmale million a return personance of between poprot eleptioners A some not blenning bareling contains on Level your : (Nyalor + Nyalon -> Hysion + Hylion + 2 creeke (1) (A) = (A) + (7) (Nyxion) - (4) (20) ((acomp 1 Negucion + Negucion -> A + A Tona you peakly (2) nelbyl on jegelums The causer (1) woopayquirduction bupmingaloroe Jan Sy grand unlike hyona, Kontopan lookane usehisperne, matinumor uselyourenat POkob (Nykeon) - (Nykeon) + (Thyon) - Containa co Syxlow 2. Opinko umoro guntymponi chection (1) inflyphorin, chegonnal capogo imanichothing mis throw honor Helbernain 1000 M+B yothe 6 bychesseen mugna "mensing a agonat almen 2 echo hegon wheen tracy molous 550 m. pensionich existremente. Kyou wino Inic decum Leakyur (3), how chips beyon winn hantste propoloni ha me, mix nemp pyrionan spequotrournel" golonom sponceopunts squiren Howeningsher youmon milen hegin (ch henremyn Lopor 770/773 zon nojemilie, wennels knigno in Ry & trace 2. (malla do 2/Blanch, Kok uplication worker unbure Nathand and the second the second Chypnen 450; non Ferlen A Anting Bauman publich unral Ralemonitor inters chigapabi e noporaria sugaros gel ofgologian 1° mining TT+ Nymbon -> A+2 The perkion High ion work not the and the second and the second th topor >) 400 popul celor 500 mc. TT+ (arth) -> 1/+1 Moracopt Ngudon -> Nepacon + M+ duyon Kenusz. New New King North . Topa 150 MJB 15 A byen myon righton will polanul? un premonipertain dependence * Myonin Khein 2

Coming back to first Notebook, very interesting is what I found on page 76 (reversed) ! This page was written between December 25,1951 and January 30,1952

Aponotres (1037+H-2/ASH-C 10¹⁶ Km^(*) c (10° un $Cl^{37}+v \rightarrow Ar^{37}+e$ 3) On the description the other ze simmety-Appointing for the determination of a result for a 3) On the charge symmetry - On the charge symmetry Holle Hobe of Hr (Rent 7 User) A. Alex -A. Alex.-Ano exce The Hummond Observation Observations while of Ht stoble very empirie of heavy In the course of this year several tomorghs on proposed In the course of this year several remarks or application with emilian of experiments Whe more in the 62 ponto of which proposed experiments were made in the 62 group, of to pontiles the experiments plarmed is comether deny it is possible to mention tome. which it is possible to mention some. with to take a period of chagrobetre Ht in the underseen At the ferritraile exection was philuster The dra with cuminion of prochebs of Neutrino by inside the problem of the detection of flee, i 20 MeV. The appeartus consort copole of cycoly 1) At the seminaire a method was discussed in rela neutrinos, i cof Billetertin of neutring motivet to forticles countrof 3-country incorrelence the problem of the detection of free neutrinos, i.e. of is not connected with the ent of a polimit (a detection of neutrino, a method which is not live for classial expers of Leipun) of The conclusion connected with the act of a β disintegration (like in -Future work is that fuch possibility in not too fer Perfleme the classical experiment of Leipunski) The conclusion from present day fowlines, Afort on is that such possibility is not too far from present day - we we we it facilities, A short report on this subject was written - Production of metons and (2) Lifetime of & mes Heavy mesons- - Possible 2 methons metang upped/experiment on Induction of metons wi experiment on τ meson. In photophaphic plates it was Tmenn. In photographic plates it was openia observed henren my heavy nighting one think 1) - On the to of the Z metons - Kouth (3) Lifetime etc. Lifetime etc (3) -On the charge symmetry hypothesis -(4)experment A discussion

Remarks and <u>Proposal for experiments</u> -1) -<u>On the lifetime transformations</u> lifetime of <u>the t mesons</u> heavy mesons and their <u>transformation</u>-

^(*) H.Bethe and R.Peierls in Nature 133,532-532 (07 April 1934) evaluated an upper limit for the cross section of the neutrino interaction with matter and they wrote "For an (neutrino) energy of $2^*3\times10^6$ volts.... $\sigma < 10^{-44}$ cm²(corresponding to a penetrating power of 10^{16} Km in solid matter) It is therefore absolutely impossible to observe process of this kind with neutrinos created in nuclear transformation."

I guess that when Pontecorvo is writing, at the end of 1951, in the top right corner of the page 76 (reversed) of the Notebook:

Ole 37-12-2/AS

he is evaluating in his mind the neutrino flux and the amount of Chlorine needed to detect a such elusive particle that can travel through 10^{16} Km of solid matter without interact !

At the end of 1951 Pontecorvo is seriously hoping to be able to do the Chlorine/Argon experiment.

live for climit exper of Leipun Del The contain is that then providity in not to for from present day familities, Afterfat on it this subject was wellen

It should be very interesting to find this "short report" to know how and where such possibility to perform the experiment existed for him in Russia. Unfortunately this possibility didn't realize, may be simply because the access to a nuclear reactor was not allowed to him.



Three years later, in 1954, R. Davis tried to use the $Cl^{37}-Ar^{37}$ method in an attempt to detect reactor neutrinos exposing a 3900-liter tank of carbon tetrachloride (CCl_4) at the Brookhaven Research Reactor. And only in 1967, 21 years after the original Pontecorvo proposal, R. Davis used the $Cl^{37}-Ar^{37}$ method to detect the neutrinos emitted by the sun, thus showing a deficit in the predicted solar neutrino flux. In 2002 R. Davis was awarded with the Nobel Prize

 $v_{\mu} \neq v_{e}$

"At the Laboratory of Nuclear Problems of JINR in 1958 a proton relativistic cyclotron was being designed with a beam energy 800 MeV and a beam current 500 A... omissis. At the beginning of 1959 I started to think about the experimental research program for such an accelerator....omissis... (one experiment) was intended to clear up the question as to whether $v_e \neq v_{\mu}$." Pontecorvo writes that in "The infancy and youth of neutrino physics: some recolletions" (Journal de Physique, 1982, n.12, vol 43, C8-221), and few lines later he asserts: "for people working on muons in the old times, the question about different types of neutrinos has always been present.

It seems to me that what he writes at page 8 of his Notebook at the beginning of November 1950

h- 2 C+24



reinforces the fact that Pontecorvo had always the suspicion that the two neutrinos in the muon decay were two different type of particles.



 $v_{\mu} \neq v_{e}$ acknowledges the Bruno's intuition

The new powerful cyclotron foreseen at Dubna could be for Pontecorvo the good occasion to answer that question. In the paper "Electron and Muon Neutrino" (J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. 37 (1959) p. 1751) he writes many possible reactions induced by neutrino (or antineutrino) beams that could be forbidden if $v_e \neq v_{\mu}$.

"There are no reasons for asserting that ve and vu are identical particles" he writes just before to itemize the long list of possible interesting reactions, and continues: " the existence of two different types of neutrinos, which are not able to annihilate, is attractive from the point of view of the symmetry and the classification of particles and might help to understand the difference in nature of muons and electrons."

Finally, in the paper Pontecorvo proposes to use an anti- v_{μ} beam to look for the reaction anti- v_{μ} + p $\rightarrow \mu^+$ + n and to check that the anti- v_{μ} + p \rightarrow e⁺+n is forbidden.

Unfortunately the foreseen 800 MeV cyclotron was never built at Dubna !

The experiment was done three years later at the Brookhaven AGS by G. Danby et al. (Phys. Rev. Lett. 9 (1962) 36). For the experimental proof that $v_e \neq v_{\mu}$, L.M.Lederman, M.Schwartz and J.Steinberger were awarded with the Nobel Prize in 1988.

Lenin Prize in 1963

ЛАУРЕАТУ Ленинской Премии



ПОНТЕКОРВО Бруно Максимовичу, членукорресполденину Академии паук СССР, руководителю группы Объединенного листипуша адерных исследований, – ма экспериментальные и теоретические исследования филики нейтрино и слабых эманлодействий.

ОСТАНОВЛЕНИЕМ КОМИТЕТА ПО ЛЕНИНСКИМ ПРЕМИЯМ

В ОБЛАСТИ НАУКИ И ТЕХНИКИ ПРИ СОВЕТЕ МИНИСТРОВ СССР

от 21 апреля 1963 года присуждена ленинская премия



Комишета по Аснинским премиям в области науки и техники при Совете Министров Союза ССР от 21 апреля 1963 Сонтекорбо Уруно Максимови

I guess that many of us would agree that Bruno Pontecorvo probably missed a couple of Nobel Prizes. The lack of enough resources and facilities (powerful accelerators, nuclear reactors, underground caverns) available to him in Russia denied to the experimental physicist Pontecorvo the possibility to realize his prophetical theoretical ideas in successful experiments. On the other hand possible collaborations of with international communities (CERN, USA, etc.) were at that time unthinkable, since he wasn't allowed to go outside the Soviet Union with the pretext of his safety ! More than that, as S.S.Gershtein affirms in the Recolletions on B. Pontecorvo, "he was not granted access to any reactor".

Nonetheless Bruno Maximovich Pontecorvo was awarded the Lenin Prize in 1963 for his work on physics of weak interactions and neutrino physics. In 1964 he become full member of the USSR Academy of Sciences and he was awarded many of the highest USSR orders.

The more revolutionary idea of Bruno Pontecorvo is certainly the "neutrino oscillations". The first Bruno's intuition of this process can be found in a paper of 1957 "Mesonium and antimesonium" (J.Exptl. Theoret. Phys, 33, 549 (1957). He writes: "We discuss here the problem as to whether there exist other mixed neutral particles (not necessarily elementary ones) (besides the K⁰-mesons) which are not identical to the corresponding antiparticles and for which the particle-antiparticle transitions are not strictly forbidden." and concludes "....if the conservation law for neutrino charge took no place, neutrino-antineutrino transitions in vacuum would be in principle possible.

I will not review the various papers that from 1957 to 1967 brought Pontecorvo to anticipate of more than ten years the phenomenon of the deficit of the solar neutrinos or to introduce the concept of sterile neutrinos, I will simply entrust to the artistic vein of Misha Bilenky the description of the phenomenon of the neutrino oscillations.





Tanning in the Sun as seen by Misha Bilenky

In 1969, Pontecorvo writes a paper together with V. Gribov "Neutrino astronomy and lepton charge" (Phys. Lett 1969, 28B,7,493-496) where they write the equations of the oscillations $v_e \leftrightarrow v_{\mu}$: "It is shown that lepton nonconservation might lead to a decrease in the number of detectable solar neutrinos at the earth surface, because $v_e \leftrightarrow v_{\mu}$ oscillations, similar to the $K^0 \leftrightarrow anti-K^0$ oscillations. Equations are presented describing such oscillations for the case when there exist only four neutrino states".

In this paper Gribov and Pontecorvo assume that neutrinos are particles with non-zero mass different from the other fundamental fermions. While the charged leptons and quarks are Dirac particles, the neutrinos hypothesized here are Majorana particles. The question of whether neutrinos are actually Majorana particles or not is a fundamental question which remains open and which only the detection of a neutrino-less double beta decay could solve.

In 1975 Pontecorvo writes with S.M. Bilenky the paper "Quark-lepton analogy and neutrino oscillations" (JINR Preprint E2-9383, Dubna, 1975; Phys. Lett 1976, 61B, 248.), where neutrinos are Dirac particles to which a mass is given as to all other fundamental fermions (quarks and leptons) with the standard Higgs mechanism of spontaneous symmetry breaking: "In this note we consider neutrino mixing starting from a different point of view suggested by an analogy between leptons and quarks. We assume that each neutrino is described by a four-component spinor."

In 1976, Pontecorvo and Bilenky publish the paper "Again on neutrino oscillations" (Lett. Nuovo Cimento, 1976, 17, 569) where they further generalize the theory of neutrino oscillations by introducing in the Lagrangian both Dirac and Majorana mass terms. The theory of neutrino oscillations thus assumed its most general form by introducing elements of possible new physics beyond the Standard Model.

Now only the experiments can give the answer to what is the real nature of neutrinos. They conclude the paper saying: "In conclusion let us stress that the main points related to oscillation phenomena are: finite neutrino masses, neutrino mixing, lepton charge violation, number of neutrino types. Thus the questions which might be answered in experiments based on neutrino oscillation ideology directly concern the very nature of neutrinos."

The Legacy of Bruno Pontecorvo

The conclusion of the 1976 paper, where the theory assumes its most general form by introducing in the Lagrangian both Dirac and Majorana mass terms, is the following: "In conclusion let us stress that the main points related to oscillation phenomena are: finite neutrino masses, neutrino mixing, lepton charge violation, number of neutrino types. Thus the questions which might be answered in experiments based on neutrino oscillations ideology directly concern the very nature of neutrinos."

Once again, the theoretician Pontecorvo call for help the experimental physicist and affirms that only the experiments can now give the answer to what is the real nature of neutrinos. This is, I guess, the Legacy of

the scientist Bruno Pontecorvo

With his revolutionary theoretical ideas he opened an impressive experimental program which continues today with more and more powerful and complex detectors that hopefully will bring us to the Physics Beyond the Standard Model. A review of this huge experimental program will be done in Pisa next 18-20 September at the "Symposium in honor of Bruno Pontecorvo for the centennial of the birth": <u>http://www.pi.infn.it/pontecorvo100</u>

An even more important Legacy of

the man Bruno Pontecorvo

to the future generations is what he writes in his autobiographic note of 1988 for the "Enciclopedia della Scienza e della Tecnica". He acknowledges to have been very wrong and very naive in believing in political views dominated by a not logic category that he calls "religione" (religion) a kind of "credo fanatico" (fanatical belief). Nonetheless, he still strongly believes that a real democratic society "fondata su leggi avanzate e sui diritti dell'uomo" (based on advanced laws and on the human rights) is not an Utopia.

Pisa exhibition on Bruno Pontecorvo

from November 9 to December 22, 2013 at "La Limonaia", vicolo del Ruschi 4, Pisa

You are all kindly invited to this exhibition where you can find many original documents on the Bruno Pontecorvo's life.

I would like to thanks the organizers of this exhibition and particularly V.Cavasinni, M.M.Massai, G.Spandre and E. Volterrani who gave me access to some of the documents I used to prepare this presentation.

In Pisa we aim at organizing a group of people to continue studying the life and documenting the revolutionary ideas of Bruno Pontecorvo and eventually to create a permanent exhibition.

Special thanks to Gil Pontecorvo for helpful discussions and for providing us precious material for the exhibition. We wish also to thank Misha Bilenky for providing us his amusing drawings illustrating with great visual power the Bruno Pontecorvo's intuitions.



Thanks for your attention



In the 1953 the accelerator was upgraded to a six-meter synchrocyclotron, the protons were accelerated up to 680 MeV and the proton current almost doubled. 14 beams of various kind become available (protons, neutrons, π^{\pm} , μ^{\pm} , γ from π^{0})



Kind of particle (MeV) n	umber cm ⁻² sec ⁻¹
Protons	is well as 1,5 · 10 ⁹
Polarized protons	4 4 · 10 ⁵ 6 6 · 10 ⁵
Neutrons	, 12, 13 $(3 \div 4) \cdot 10^4$
Polarized neutrons $500 \le B_g \le 650$ for the spectrum interval	16 104
π^{+} -mesons	8 450 8 1000
310 360	9 1600 8 150
	1 200
μ ¹ -mesons	8 20÷30
μ ^{**} -mesons	17 60

Intensities of particle beams after 1953

Synchrocyclotron beams

Internal reports on π -mesons production

The results of all experiments carried on by Bruno Maximovich Pontecorvo with his group of young researchers in the period 1951-1954 at the five-meter cyclotron were published as internal reports in russian, some of those were also published later in 1955. In these early experiments the production of single charged and neutral π mesons with proton and neutron beams on proton and complex nuclei were performed. Here there is a couple of examples:

The π meson production was extensively studied in p-p and p-d interactions (B.M.Pontecorvo, G.I.Selivanov, V.A. Zhukov, RINP, 1953) and the results reported in this internal report in Russian. Тема Зб. Исследование процессов образования <u>П-мезонов</u> при взалиодействии нуклонов с нуклонами и легкими элементами.

> Гуководитель: Понтекорво Б.М. Исполнители: Селиванов Г.М. Жуков Б.А.

В предстоящих опытах предполагается исследовать процесс рождения П'-мезонов в (р-р) и (р-д)-столкновениях. Для изучения рождения нейтральных П'-мезонов при взаимодействии протон-протон экспер Иментально будет найдено:

 Угловое распределение у-лучей от распада П'-мезонов.

2. Полное сечение образования П'-мезонов.

3. Зависимость полного сечения образования П°-мезо-

нов от энергии сталкивающихся нуклонов.

Theme 36. <u>Study of the π meson</u> production in nucleon-nucleon and nucleon-light nuclei collisions

> Leader: Pontecorvo B.M. Executors: Selivanov G.I. Zhukov V.A.

The π^0 production in nuclei of different atomic weight allowed the measurement of the π^0 mean free path in nuclear matter (B.M.Pontecorvo, G.I. Selivanov, RINP, 1952; Dokl.Acad.Nauk SSSR, 102, 495 (1955)) following the idea that Pontecorvo wrote in the first pages of his Notebook as soon as he arrived in Dubna in November 1950. Acatimobe of m. f. p of Tt in mileon

mother. The mean free petter of chorized metors in mulei can be investigated in first-fites. To investigate the mean free peter of The other the maleon mather itself, as the strokened the muleon mather itself, as the strokened to have a polytomic of much occursity that the m f p for intraction is 22 Edicas. This more muleus which feodomes metros. Oncy 8, study the hote of the a separation of 2.

In 1953 the accelerator was upgraded to a six-meter cyclotron, the protons were accelerated up to 680 MeV and the proton current almost doubled. Some of the previous experiments were done once again at this higher energies by the Pontecorvo's group (Yu.D.Balashov, V.A.Zhukov, B.M.Pontecorvo, G.I.Selivanov, RINP, 1955). In 1954 As soon as well-collimated π -meson beams became available at the cyclotron, several measurements were performed by the Pontecorvo's group on the energy dependence of the total cross sections for π mesons on hydrogen, deuterium and on complex nuclei. See "The Soviet Journal of Atomic Energy 1957, vol. 3, 5, 1273-1314" for a review.

Scattering of π -mesons on hydrogen, deuteron and complex nuclei

As soon as well-collimated π -meson beams became available at the cyclotron in 1954, Pontecorvo became very interested in doing experiments of π -meson scattering on protons and complex nuclei. In a review paper with V.P.Dzhelepov on the experiments performed with the cyclotron in "The Soviet Journal of Atomic Energy 1957, vol.3, 5, 1273-1314", he writes: "the interaction between charged particles takes place through photons, which are the quanta of the electromagnetic field. Therefore, the properties of photons are strongly related to the characteristics of the electromagnetic forces between charged particles. Similarly, the properties of π -mesons are intimately related to the forces between nuclei, which means that they are related to nuclear forces. Meson theory is based on the hypothesis, first formulated by Yukawa, that nuclear forces are caused by mesons. Although this concept is correct, meson theory is still, unfortunately, in the early stages of its development."

Several measurements were performed by the Pontecorvo's group on the energy dependence of the total cross sections for π mesons on hydrogen and deuterium. (A.E.Ignatenko, A.I.Mukhin, E.B. Ozerov, B.M.Pontecorvo; Dokl.Acad. Nauk SSSR, 103, 45(1955); Dokl. Acad.Nauk SSSR, 103, 209(1955); J.Exptl. Theoret.Phys (USSR) 30, 7 (1956). A.I.Mukhin, E.B.Ozerov, B.M. Pontecorvo; J.Exptl. Theoret.Phys (USSR) 31, 371 (1956)). See for instance the up-right figure. From its caption one reads:

"The "resonance" behaviour of the cross sections is in the vicinity of 190 MeV characterizes the meson-nucleon interaction in state with isotopic spin and total angular momenta 3/2".

Measurements of total cross section of π mesons on complex nuclei were also performed by the Pontecorvo's group. (A.E.Ignatenko, A.I.Mukhin, E.B. Ozerov, B.M.Pontecorvo; Dokl.Acad. Nauk SSSR, 103, 395(1955); J.Exptl. Theoret.Phys (USSR) 31, 545 (1956)). See for instance the down-right figure. From its caption one reads:

"The curves are reminiscent of the energy dependence of the cross section for the total interaction of π^+ and π^- mesons with nucleons. Analysis shows that the interaction of π -mesons with nuclei takes place primarily by mean: of interactions with individual nucleons of the nucleus.



Fig. 12. Total cross section for the interaction of π^+ - and π^- -mesons with hydrogen and deuterium. The "resonance" behavior of the cross sections is in the vicinity of 190 Mev characterizes the meson-nucleon interaction in the state with isotopic spin and total angular momenta 3/2. At an energy of $E_{lab} \approx 300$ Mev the contribution to scattering from the state with isotopic spin 1/2 becomes significant.



Fig. 15. The energy dependence of the total cross section for the interaction of π -mesons with light nuclei [65]. The curves are reminiscent of the energy dependence of the cross section for the total interaction of π^+ and π^- -mesons with nucleons. Analysis shows [66] that the interaction of π -mesons with nuclei takes place primarily by means of interactions with individual nucleons of the nucleus.

Scattering of π mesons on hydrogen

On the review paper written together with V.P.Dzhelepov in "The Soviet Journal of Atomic Energy 1957, vol.3, 5,1273" one can read: "Several experiments (see for instance A.I.Mukhin, E.B.Ozerov, B.M.Pontecorvo; J.Exptl. Theoret.Phys (USSR) 31,371 (1956). A.I.Mukhin, B.M.Pontecorvo; J.Exptl. Theoret.Phys (USSR) 31,550 (1956)) were devoted..omissis..to investigations of angular distributions of π -mesons scattered by hydrogen in the $\pi^*+p \to \pi^*+p$, $\pi^-+p \to \pi^-+p$, $\pi^-+p \to \pi^0+n$ reactions for the following meson energies: 176, 200, 240, 270 MeV. Some of the data obtained is shown in Figs. 13 and 14. All data obtained, in particular the equality of the cross section for the interaction of both π^* and π^- mesons with deuterium, verifies the principle of charge symmetry for a set of mesons and nucleons, as well as the more rigorous principle of charge independance..omissis..Experiments verified the fact that in the energy range up to 300 MeV the meson-nucleon interaction



bution is symmetric about 90°. At energies greater than the "resonance" energy, forward scatter-

ing predominates,

is extremely strong for the state whose isotopic spin and total angular momentum are 3/2. The scattering cross section in this state attains its maximum possible value at a π meson energy of about 190 MeV. It is therefore often said that the meson-nucleon interaction has a "resonant" character^(*). It is possible that this resonance is related to the nucleon structure, although one may not assert this at present..omissis.. The high accuracy with which the angular distribution of the π^+ -meson scattering



Fig. 14. Scattering of π -mesons by hydrogen at 30? Mev in the following processes: a) $\pi^+ + p \rightarrow \pi^+ + p$; b) $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + n \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma + n$; c) $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \pi^- + p$. From the data given in this figure and in Fig. 13, one can obtain the coupling constant f^2 of the meson-nucleon interaction, which is found to be about 0.1.

by hydrogen have been measured for energies higher than 200 MeV allowed the first phase analysis accounting not only for the s- and p-states, but also for the d-state. It follows from this analysis that the meson-nucleon interaction radious is about 7x10⁻¹⁴ cm.

(*) *the* ∆(1232)

Since the end of 1950 Pontecorvo (as we saw from his notebook and from the previous internal report) was deeply convinced that the only way to solve the contradiction posed by particles which are strongly produced but are decaying weakly is to assume that they must be produced in pair. In 1953, from an experimental point of view, this fact was not completely clear; on the contrary this hypothesis was in contradiction with the results of the experiment of Schein et al. (Schein M., Haskin D., Glasse R., Fainberg F., Brown K.; Congress International sur le rayonement cosmique, Bagnere de Bigorre, 1953). This experiment was claiming that five events with Λ^0 -particles from π^- mesons on carbon were observed on photographic plates and that was in contradiction with the experiment of Garwin (Garwin R.L.; Phys.Rev., 1953, vol.90, p.274) who was finding un upper-limit of $\sigma \leq 7*10^{-32}$ cm² to the cross section per nucleon for the production of Λ^0 by 450-MeV protons on carbon.

As usual, the theoretical physicist Pontecorvo, as brilliant experimenter, decides to clarify this point by himself with an experiment trying to observe the formation of Λ^0 -particles in collisions of 670 MeV protons with carbon nuclei. (Baladin M.P., Balashov B.D., Zhukov V.A., Pontecorvo B.M., Selivanov G.I. Report of the Inst. for Nuclear Problem, Acad. Sci. USSR, 1954.). The experiment was looking, as done by Garwin, for Λ^0 -particles in the decay channel $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow n+\pi^0$. Gamma rays from the decay of π^0 mesons were detected by means of a telescope of scintillation and Cherenkov counters. It was found un upper limit for the cross section for production of Λ^0 -particles in the reaction Nucleon+Nucleon $\rightarrow \Lambda^0$ + Nucleon of $\sigma \le 10^{-31}$ cm²/nucleon. Therefore conclusion was reached that:

> "The small value of the cross section for the formation of Λ^0 particles in the interaction of protons with an energy of 670 MeV with complex nuclei agrees with the hypothesis of the fundamental transformation of a nucleon according to the scheme (N) \leftrightarrow (Λ^0) + (heavy meson)."

The production in pair of V-particles and heavy mesons according to the previous scheme, hypothesized by Pontecorvo already in the 1951, was then observed in π^- p collision with π^- of 1.5 BeV from the BNL Cosmotron by W.B.Fowler et al. (*Phys. Rev. 93, 861 (1954)*)

These important contributions given by Pontecorvo to the problem of understanding the properties of the "strange particles" are not often acknowledged to him by the scientific community. He was probably the first to have the intuition that the contradictory behavior of these strange particles can be explained if are produced in pair. Unfortunately this idea remained hidden in internal reports written in Russian, not accessible for long time to the vast community of physicists outside the Soviet Union.



 $\pi^-+p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + K^0 \rightarrow p \pi^- + \pi^+ \pi^$ first event observed in a cloud chamber by Fowler et al.

At the end of 1951, when Pontecorvo writes this page in his Notebook, he is evidently thinking to the brilliant method that he proposed in its famous publication "Inverse beta process" (Chalk River Report, PD-205, 1946) to detect "free neutrinos".

At that time it was believed that the direct detection of neutrinos, because of his negligible cross section with matter as evaluated by Bethe and Peierls ($\sigma < 10^{-44}$ cm², corresponding to a penetrating power of more than 10^{16} Km in solid matter), was "absolutely impossible".

In the paper of '46, proposing his method to directly detect "free neutrinos", Pontecorvo asserts: "it is true that the actual β transition involved, i.e., the actual emission of a β particle in process $v + Z \Rightarrow \beta^{-}(\beta^{+}) + Z \pm 1$...omissis...is certainly not detectable in practice.",

but immediately adds:

"However, the nucleus of charge $Z \pm 1$, which is produced in the reaction may be (and generally will be) radioactive with a decay period well know..omissis... The essential point, in this method, is that radioactive atoms produced by an inverse β -ray process have different chemical properties from the irradiated atoms. Consequently, it may be possible to concentrate the radioactive atoms from a very large irradiated volume."



The sources proposed by Pontecorvo for an "inverse beta process" experiment is the neutrino flux from the sun ("the neutrino emitted by the sun, however, are not very energetic") or the high intensity neutrino source from a pile of a nuclear reactor ("the neutrino source is the pile itself, during operation. In this case, neutrinos must be utilized beyond the usual pile shield. The advantage of such an arrangement (with respect to use as source of hot uranium metal extracted from a pile) is the possibility of using high energy neutrinos emitted by all the very short period fission fragments. Probably this is the most convenient neutrino source").

The first idea of Pontecorvo to detect "free neutrinos" was to use the "inverse beta process" in the reaction:

 $v + {}^{35}{}_{17}CI \rightarrow \beta^{+} + {}^{35}{}_{16}S$ (Chalk River Report, PD-141,25 May, 1945): "The ${}^{35}{}_{16}S$ is a β -active radioelement, decaying to ${}^{35}{}_{17}CI$ with a period of 87.1 days the energy of the β -ray radiation being only 120 KeV. ${}^{35}{}_{16}S$ would be produced by absorption of a neutrino and emission of a positive electron from the original ${}^{35}{}_{17}CI''$.

Example.

There are several elements which could be used for neutrino irradiation in the suggested investigation. Chlorine, for example, fulfils reasonably well the desired conditions indicated in the preceding paragraph. According to Seaborg's Table of Isotopes ⁽⁴⁾, $\frac{55}{16}$ is a β -active radioelement, decaying to $\frac{35}{17}$ C with a period of 87.1 days, the energy of the β -ray radiation being only 120 kv. $\frac{35}{16}$ S would be produced by absorption of a neutrino and mission of a positive électron from the original $\frac{35}{16}$ C. According to

In the years '45-46 the difference between neutrino and antineutrino was not very clear and the Chlorine-35/Sulphur-35 reaction could only be used to detect reactor neutrinos (i.e. antineutrinos), while the Chlorine-37/Argon-37 reaction could be used to look for solar neutrinos.

However in 1948, as can be seen from this letter of T. Turkevich to Pontecorvo, there was already the suspicion that reactor (anti) neutrinos could not induce the Chlorine/ Argon process and in the letter we read: "The above may not be right, and in any case gives only new incentive to doing your experiment".

The idea of a Chlorine/Argon experiment was not pursued when Pontecorvo moved from Chalk River to England, although some tests were already done to detect the 2.8 KeV Auger electrons in Argon-37 using proportional counters with high amplification (D.H.W. Kirkwood, B.Pontecorvo, G.C.Hanna, Phys.Rev.74(1948)497



Dear Ponte,

...omissis...

not. As I understand it, if it does, there is a "real" difference between a neutrino and an "anti-neutrino". In this case I wonder if the pile (**8**-decays) which furnishes "anti-neutrinos" can induce a process such as Cl⁵⁷ (**y**, e⁻) A⁵⁷ which really requires "neutrinos". (See also abstract T-1, Washington meeting, on "double beta decay").

The above may not be right, and in any case gives only new incentive to doing your experiment, but I pass it on to indicate the obvious interest in the work.

Unfortunately I don't remember your schedule well enough, but I still hope that you will be able to visit us in Chicago before leaving for England and that we will be able to talk and play some tennis.

With best regards and thanks again,

Tony Turkenel

Tony Turkevich

PHYSICAL REVIEW

PHYSICAL REVIEW

In 1954, R. Davis tried to use the Cl^{37} - Ar^{37} method in an attempt to detect reactor neutrinos exposing a 3900-liter tank of carbon tetrachloride (CCl_4) at the Brookhaven Research Reactor.

Attempt to Detect the Antineutrinos from a Nuclear Reactor by the Cl^{ir} (*₁e⁻)A^{ir} Reaction* RATHOND DAVES, Ja. Departured of Chemistry, Breaktane Malional Laboratory, Upton, Long Island, New York (Received September 21, 1954)

VOLUME 97. NUMBER 3

FEBRUARY 1, 1985

JANUARY 1. 1960

The antineutrino source of the Brookhaven reactor was not powerful enough to detect any possible signal with the target volume of CCl_4 exposed and so no signal was observed. Therefore Davis moved the experiment to the Savannah River reactor, which was the most intense antineutrino sources in the world at that time. Similarly no reactor neutrinos was found even when the experiment was upgraded to a 11.400-liter CCl_4 target (Davis R.Jr., "An attempt to observe the capture of reactor neutrinos in Chlorine-37". UNESCO Conf., Paris, Vol.1, 728, 1958). This was the first evidence that antineutrinos (reactor neutrinos) are different particles from neutrinos.

Meanwhile, in 1953, F. Reines and C.L.Cowan Jr. tried a first attempt to detect free reactor neutrinos at the Hanford nuclear reactor in the reaction antineutrino + proton \rightarrow neutron + positron by using liquid scintillators. The background from cosmic rays prevents to draw a definitive conclusion on this experiment. Only several years later, in 1960, after having repeated the experiment at the Savannah River reactor they could reach a definitive conclusion on the observation of free antineutinos. This discovery was recognized with the Nobel Prize to F. Reines in 1995.

The other possible source of neutrinos suggested by Pontecorvo in 1946 was "the neutrino emitted by the sun, however, are not very energetic." In the 1964 R. Davis, ten years after he tried to detect reactor neutrinos, in the paper Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 303-305 (1964) proposes an experiment to detect solar neutrinos arguing that the neutrino flux from Boron-8 decay, according to J. N. Bahcall (Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 300-302 (1964)) could be detectable.

The detector, a 378.000-liter tank of C_2Cl_4 located in the Homestake mine in South Dakota, in 1967 was operational and already from the beginning the data, published in 1968, showed a deficit in the predicted solar neutrino flux:

the solar neutrino problem was born. R. Davis was awarded with the Nobel Prize in 2002



Detection of the Free Neutrino*

F. REINES AND C. L. COWAN, JR. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico (Received July 9, 1953; revised manuscript received September 14, 1953)

VOLUME 117, NUMBER 1

Detection of the Free Antineutrino* F. REINES,† C. L. COWAN, JR.,‡ F. B. HARRISON, A. D. MCGUIRE, AND H. W. KRUSE Las Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mesico

os Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexic (Received July 27, 1959)



 $v_{\mu} \neq v_{e}$

"At the Laboratory of Nuclear Problems of JINR in 1958 a proton relativistic cyclotron was being designed with a beam energy 800 MeV and a beam current 500 A. By the way, this accelerator eventually was not built. Anyway at the beginning of 1959 I started to think about the experimental research program for such an accelerator. First, it occurred to me that neutrino investigations at accelerator facilities are perfectly feasible and that an healthy and relatively cheap neutrino program could be accomplished by dumping the proton beam in a large Fe block. ...omissis... (one experiment) was intended to clear up the question as to whether $v_e \neq v_{ij}$." Pontecorvo writes that in "The infancy and youth of neutrino physics: some recolletions" Journal de Physique, 1982, n.12, vol 43, C8-221. And then he continues: "I have to come back a long way (1947-1950). Several groups, among which J. Steinberger, E. Hincks and I, and others were investigating the (cosmic) muon decay. ...omissis... the decaying muon emits 3 particles: one electron and two neutral particles, which were called by various people in different way: two neutrinos, neutrino and neutretto, v and v', etc. I am saying this to make clear that for people working on muons in the old times, the question about different types of neutrinos has always been present. It seems to me that what he writes at page 8 of his Notebook at the beginning of November 1950



 $v_{\mu} \neq v_{e}$ acknowledges the Bruno's intuition

pr - 2 R+2H

and few lines later

MA DE + PHID

reinforces the fact that Pontecorvo had always the suspicion that the two neutrinos in the muon decay were two different type of particles.

The new powerful cyclotron foreseen at Dubna could be for Pontecorvo the good occasion to answer that question. In the paper "*Electron and Muon Neutrino*" (J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. 37 (1959) p. 1751) he writes many possible reactions induced by neutrino (or antineutrino) beams that could be forbidden if $v_e \neq v_{\mu}$. "There are no reasons for asserting that v_e and v_{μ} are identical particles" he writes just before to itemize the long list of possible interesting reactions, and continues giving some reasons (like the absence of the $\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma \text{ decay}$) for which the hypothesis of $v_e \neq v_{\mu}$ is attractive and concludes "the existence of two different types of neutrinos, which are not able to annihilate, is attractive from the point of view of the symmetry and the classification of particles and might help to understand the difference in nature of muons and electrons." Finally, in the paper Pontecorvo proposes to use an anti- v_{μ} beam to look for the reaction anti- $v_{\mu}+p \rightarrow \mu^++n$ and to check if the anti- $v_{\mu}+p \rightarrow e^++n$ is forbidden.

Unfortunately the foreseen 800 MeV cyclotron was never built at Dubna !

The experiment was done three years later at the Brookhaven AGS by G. Danby et al. (Phys. Rev. Lett. 9 (1962) 36). For the experimental proof that $v_e \neq v_{\mu}$, L.M.Lederman, M.Schwartz and J.Steinberger were awarded with the Nobel Prize in 1988.

The more revolutionary idea of Bruno Pontecorvo is certainly the "neutrino oscillations". The first Bruno's intuition of this process can be found in a paper of 1957 "Mesonium and antimesonium" (J.Exptl. Theoret. Phys, 33, 549 (1957). He writes: "We discuss here the problem as to whether there exist other mixed neutral particles (not necessarily elementary ones) (besides the K^{0} mesons) which are not identical to the corresponding antiparticles and for which the particle-antiparticle transitions are not strictly forbidden." and concludes "....if the conservation law for neutrino charge took no place, neutrino-antineutrino transitions in vacuum would be in principle possible.

The following year, in 1958, when Bruno hears a false rumor that Davis has observed some events of antineutrinos produced by the Savannah River reactor, he publishes the article "Inverse beta processes and non-conservation of lepton charge" (J.Exptl. Theoret. Phys, 34, 247(1958) in which he discusses in detail whether it is possible the transition neutrino-antineutrino as he had suggested in his previous article. In the paper Pontecorvo makes the hypothesis that "a) the neutrino and antineutrino are not identical particles; b) the neutrino charge is not strictly conserved." from which he concludes that: "neutrinos in vacuum can transform themselves into antineutrinos and vice versa. This means that neutrino and antineutrino are particle mixtures, i.e. symmetrical and antisymmetrical combination of two truly neutral Majorana particles v_1 and v_2 ".

Immediately after he adds that these assumptions may not be true, but the discussion is still interesting because they have consequences (as possible neutrino oscillations) that can be tested experimentally by the two experiments of Reines and of Cowan and Davis:

"So, for example, a beam of neutral leptons from a reactor which at first consists mainly of antineutrinos will change its composition and at a certain distance R from the reactor will be composed of neutrino and antineutrino in equal quantities."

However, he warns that such an effect could be unobsevable in these experiments because the distance between the detector and the source of antineutrinos is too small compared to the large values of R,...but: "...it will certainly occur, at least, on an astronomic scale", anticipating of more than ten years, the phenomenon of the deficit of solar neutrinos.

In his famous paper of 1967 "Neutrino experiments and the question of leptonic-charge conservation" (J.Exptl. Theoret. Phys. 53, 1717 (1967) Bruno Pontecorvo discusses in detail the possibility of oscillations both for neutrinos $(v_e \text{ and } v_{\mu})$ in their respective antineutrinos $(v_{e(\mu)} \leftrightarrow \text{anti-}v_{e(\mu)})$ and for neutrinos e in neutrinos $\mu (v_e \leftrightarrow v_{\mu})$: "If the lepton charge is not an exactly conserved quantum number, and the neutrino mass is different from zero, oscillation similar to those in K⁰ beams become possible in neutrino beams."

At first he considers the neutrino oscillation with the respective antineutrinos, as he had suggested in its first article of 1957, now introducing the concept of neutrino "sterile": "If there are two different additive lepton charges, the transitions $v_e \leftrightarrow anti-v_e$ and $v_\mu \leftrightarrow anti-v_\mu$ transform potentially "active" particles into particles, which, from the point of view of ordinary weak processes, are sterile, i.e. practically undetectable, inasmuch as they have "wrong" spirality. In such a case the only way of observing the effects in question consists in measuring the intensity and the time variation of the intensity of original active particles, but not in detecting the appearance of the corresponding (sterile) antiparticles.



In the 1967, when the existence of two kind of neutrinos had been experimentally well proved, it is natural for him to consider also the possibility of oscillation of v_e in v_{μ} : "Returning to the usual notations, there will take place oscillations $V_e \leftrightarrow V_{\mu}$, which, in principle are detectable not only by measuring the intensity and the time variation of the intensity of original particles, but also by observing the "appearance" of new particles."

by Misha Bilenky

The deep conviction of Pontercorvo that neutrinos have non-zero mass, although small, and are therefore susceptible to oscillations as in the system as K^0 -anti K^0 , derived from the intuition of a profound symmetry between leptons and hadrons at least with respect to the weak interaction, as well as for the same argument of symmetry he was convinced that the neutrino in the decay of the pion into muon + neutrino was of a different nature from the neutrino of the β decay.

Furthermore, in the paper of 1967 "Neutrino experiments and the question of leptoniccharge conservation" (J.Exptl. Theoret. Phys. 53, 1717 (1967) Bruno Pontecorvo observes, as already anticipated in the 1957 paper, that the best way to detect the neutrino oscillation is the measurement of the solar neutrino flux on the earth: "From an observational point of view the ideal object is the sun." and he quantifies it: "The only effect on the earth's surface would be that the flux of observable sun neutrinos must be two times smaller than the total (active and sterile) neutrino flux."

It must be noticed that at the time when Pontecorvo is writing these observations the Davis' experiment had not yet produced any result and only later this experiment really showed the existence of a deficit in the solar neutrino flux.



by Misha Bilenky

Two years later, in 1969, Pontecorvo writes a paper together with V. Gribov "Neutrino astronomy and lepton charge" (Phys. Lett 1969, 28B,7,493-496) where they write the equations of the oscillations $v_e \leftrightarrow v_{\mu}$ in the case of non-conservation of the lepton charge (lepton number) and the existence of only two Majorana neutrinos with mass different from zero:

"It is shown that lepton nonconservation might lead to a decrease in the number of detectable solar neutrinos at the earth surface, because $v_e \leftrightarrow v_\mu$ oscillations, similar to the $K^0 \leftrightarrow anti-K^0$ oscillations. Equations are presented describing such oscillations for the case when there exist only four neutrino states".

In this paper Gribov and Pontecorvo assume that neutrinos are particles with non-zero mass different from the other fundamental fermions. While the charged leptons and quarks are Dirac particles, the neutrinos hypothesized here are Majorana particles. The question of whether neutrinos are actually Majorana particles or not is a fundamental question which remains open and which only the detection of a neutrino-less double beta decay could solve.

In 1975 Pontecorvo writes with S.M. Bilenky the paper "Quark-lepton analogy and neutrino oscillations" (JINR Preprint E2-9383, Dubna, 1975; Phys. Lett 1976, 61B, 248.), where in analogy with the mechanism of the quark mixing model (Cabibbo-GIM), neutrinos are Dirac particles to which a mass is given as to all other fundamental fermions (quarks and leptons) with the standard Higgs mechanism of spontaneous symmetry breaking: "In this note we consider neutrino mixing starting from a different point of view suggested by an analogy between leptons and quarks. We assume that each neutrino is described by a four-component spinor."

The following year, in 1976, Pontecorvo and Bilenky publish the paper "Again on neutrino oscillations" (Lett. Nuovo Cimento, 1976, 17, 569) where they further generalize the theory of neutrino oscillations by introducing in the Lagrangian both Dirac and Majorana mass terms. The theory of neutrino oscillations thus assumed its most general form by introducing elements of possible new physics beyond the Standard Model.

Now only the experiments can give the answer to what is the real nature of neutrinos. They conclude the paper saying: "In conclusion let us stress that the main points related to oscillation phenomena are: finite neutrino masses, neutrino mixing, lepton charge violation, number of neutrino types. Thus the questions which might be answered in experiments based on neutrino oscillation ideology directly concern the very nature of neutrinos."

H4 experiment

Ht experiment 5 259 Possible method of defection -Herexperiment a) One inadiates a folution scintillating. . The need of the & pontile in must bias deloped fulles to measure the Ht shet + 157D UI & SOKV. > 20 MLV. This is obtectable in a proportional This phinciple is yood for: counter! H^{+} t_{c} $TT^{\pm} e^{+}$ TT-e when lifetime ressouble Appierotrus of not oblaged, for Appointus for the distance time of a search for a strice strike of Ht. (Rent + Vay) TTP. (In this worker) Arrespec The Horspectment one should remember than the The finite of the Toble vans empire of hory If there is a topy of #4 stoble vans empire of hory postiles, the investigation of horizon of When your of elegens toer porticles mog de ~ 10 in every, for tome menge los. b) cerenkov detutor. to portile the experiments plarmed is comether days hegin, The due with commission of to produce of a violation of the appropriate constant constant of the offer to fortules countrof 3- countris inconcertance Star outcitor Fre ++ An electronic ston detector was be made on the bapil of newtin production, It is the That wentions are detected inefficient er, but, on the other hand, confe maskes con he used.

First Tennis Champion at Chalk River – 1948 Bruno Pontecorvo



Мивистерство высшего образования Продлено Московский государственный университет имени М. В. Ломоносова _195_r. 110 0 _____ 2 ___ Проректор REPEHNE улост по кадрам Joumekopbo accusobur ъявитель сего HO JL Продлено popeccopoul _195_r. TO «_____» cecicoro cpak-md Проректор BILBERTEND IED 31 9CKa6p21963 VILL'INCON HOUR по кадрам Проректор по кадрам К. Gand Л 135091 от 14/1Х 1955 г. 5-я тип. МПС. SEBUS CUE SUIL 19.21