



## Searching for Gravitational Waves

**Nelson Christensen** 

Carleton College, Northfield, Minnesota USA (on sabbatical 2005-06 with Virgo at the European Gravitational Observatory, Cascina, Italy)

### LIGO Scientific Collaboration

### The EM Window on the New Window on Universe Universe











x-ray

Adv. LIGO band: 10 Hz < f < 8 kHz

LISA band: 100 mHz < f < 10 mHz



GRAVITATIONAL WAVES WILL GIVE A NEW AND UNIQUE VIEW OF THE DYNAMICS OF THE UNIVERSE.

**EXPECTED SOURCES:** 

**BLACK HOLES,** 

SUPERNOVAE, PULSARS AND

# **LIGO**The LIGO Observatories



Interferometers are aligned along the great circle connecting the sites



# The LIGO Scientific 500 scientists at 42 institutions Collabor attonal









- Aluminum cylinder, suspended in middle
- GW causes it to ring at one or two resonant frequencies near 900 Hz
- Sensitive in fairly narrow band (up to ~100 Hz)





AURIGA detector (open)



EXPLORER AURIGA

ALLEGRO

NAUTILUS

Planning joint analysis as IGEC-2 Collaboration Continuation of previous IGEC, which included NIOBE in Australia

## **LIGO** is embarking on an important observational



- Campaign We have honed but analysis methods on a series of science runs that have produced 12 0 (10 PRD + 2 PRL) published results to date
  - Many more publications are in the pipeline
- Scientific output of LIGO is ramping up 0
- Advanced LIGO start expected for FY2008 0

#### **OPPORTUNITIES** for the Collaboration:

- The current S5 science run will provide at least **1** year of integrated science data at design 0
- There will be time for one or more additional long observations 0
- Operation in coincidence with other detectors to corroborate detections 0
  - Virgo (French-Italian 3km interferometer)
  - GEO600 (UK/German 600m interferometer part of LSC)
- Coordination with gray observatories (HETE 2, Swift) 0

#### CHALLENGES for the Collaboration :

Maintaining the impetus of a 24x7 campaign of production analysis that will enable timely discovery





- Direct verification of two of most dramatic predictions of Einstein's general relativity
  - » Existence of gravitational waves
  - » Direct observation of black holes
- Physics
  - » Detailed tests of properties of gravitational waves including speed, polarization, strength, graviton mass, .....
  - » Probe strong field gravity around black holes and in the early universe
  - » Probe the neutron star equation of state
- Astronomy
  - » By performing routine astronomical observations, understand compact binary populations, rates of supernovae explosions, test gamma-ray burst models
- LIGO provides a new window on the Universe

## Astrophysical Sources of Gravitational Waves



- Compact binary systems
  - » Black holes and neutron stars
  - » Inspiral and merger
  - Probe internal structure, populations, and spacetime geometry
- Spinning neutron stars
  - » LMXBs, known & unknown pulsars
  - » Probe internal structure and populations
- Neutron star birth
  - » Tumbling and/or convection
  - » Correlations with EM observations
- Stochastic background
  - » Big bang & other early universe
  - » Background of GW bursts



## **LIGO**Gravitational waves



- Transverse distortions of the space-time itself → ripples of space-time curvature
- Propagate at the speed of light
- Push on freely floating objects
   Stretch and squeeze
   the space transverse to
   direction of propagation





 Energy and momentum conservation require that the waves are quadrupolar → aspherical mass distribution

## **LIGO**Astrophysics with GWs vs. E&M



E&M	GW
Accelerating charge	Accelerating aspherical mass
Wavelength small compared to sources -> images	Wavelength large compared to sources → no spatial resolution
Absorbed, scattered, dispersed by matter	Very small interaction; matter is transparent
10 MHz and up	10 kHz and down

- Very different information, mostly mutually exclusive
- Difficult to predict GW sources based on EM observations



• Gravitational wave amplitude (strain)  $h_{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{2G}{c^4 r} \ddot{I}_{\mathbf{m}} \Rightarrow h \approx \frac{4\mathbf{p}^2 GMR^2 f_{orb}^2}{c^4 r}$ 

• For a binary neutron star pair

$$M \approx 10^{30} \text{ kg}$$
  

$$R \approx 20 \text{ km}$$
  

$$f \approx 400 \text{ Hz} \implies h \sim 10^{-21}$$
  

$$r \approx 10^{23} \text{ m}$$

# Effect of a GW on matter





## **Ligo**Interferometer Response to a GW



 Response depends on direction and polarization of incoming wave



LSC

# **LIGO**Measurement and the real world



- How to measure the gravitational-wave?
  - » Measure the displacements of the mirrors of the interferometer by measuring the phase shifts of the light
- What makes it hard?
  - » GW amplitude is small
  - » External forces also push the mirrors around
  - » Laser light has fluctuations in its phase and amplitude





### GW detector at a glance



## LIGO Optical Layout

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Initial LIGO Sensitivity Goal

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSC

Strain sensitivity < 3x10<sup>-23</sup> 1/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> at 200 Hz Have achieved strain RMS of 10^-21 in a 100 Hz bandwidth Displacement Noise » Seismic motion » Thermal Noise

» Radiation Pressure

#### **Sensing Noise**

- » Photon Shot Noise
- » Residual Gas

Facilities limits much lower

G050027-00-Z

## **LIGO**Reaching LIGO's Science Goals

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

### • Interferometer commissioning

» Intersperse commissioning and data taking consistent with obtaining one year of integrated data at  $h = 10^{-21}$  by end of 2006

### • Science runs and astrophysical searches

- » Science data collection and intense data mining interleaved with commissioning
  - S1 Aug 2002 Sep 2002
  - S2 Feb 2003 Apr 2003
  - S3 Oct 2003 Jan 2004
  - S4 Feb 2005 Mar 2005
  - S5 Nov 2005 ...
- Advanced LIGO

- duration: 2 weeks
- duration: 8 weeks
- duration: 10 weeks
- duration: 4 weeks
- duration: 1 yr integrated

# History of science runs

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

## LIGOVIRGO Sensitivity

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

History

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

G050027-00-Z

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### • Schedule

- » Started in November, 2005
- » Get 1 year of data at design sensitivity
- » Small enhancements over next 3 years
- Typical sensitivity (in terms of inspiral distance)
  - » H1 10 to 12 Mpc (33 to 39 million light years)
  - » H2 5 Mpc (16 million light years)
  - » L1 8 to 10 Mpc (26 to 33 million light years)
- Sample duty cycle (Nov. 2005 to Jan. 2006)
  - » 55% (L1), 68% (H1), 83% (H2) individual
  - » 45% triple coincidence

# **LIGO**Example of figures of merit

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

G050021-00-2

# gravitational wave detection

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The initial LIGO detectors have reached their target sensitivity
   » Incredibly small motion of mirrors → 10<sup>-19</sup> m (less than 1/1000 the size of a proton)
- LIGO has begun its biggest and most sensitive science data run
- Unprecedented sensitivity prospects for new science are very promising
  - » On Science magazine's list of things to watch out for in 2006
- Growing international collaborative effort (LIGO, GEO, Virgo, TAMA) in the mutual search for events
- Design of an even more sensitive next generation instrument is progressing rapidly

## LIGO Inspiral and Merger of Compact Binaries

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Gravitational waves from binary systems containing neutron stars & stellar mass black holes
- Last several minutes of inspiral driven by GW emission
- Clean systems, accurate modeling shows that GW's depend on masses/spins only
- Binary Neutron Star Rates
  - Theoretical estimates give upper bound of 1/3yr for LIGO S5
- Binary Black Hole Rates
  - Theoretical estimates give upper bound of 1/yr for LIGO S5

![](_page_26_Figure_10.jpeg)

time

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How to detect inspiral

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

### waves

- Use template based matched filtering algorithm
- Search for non-spinning binaries
  - » 2.0 post-Newtonian waveforms

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $s(t) = (1Mpc/D) x [sin(a) h_{s}^{l} (t-t0) + cos(a) h_{c}^{l} (t-t0)]$ 

• D: effective distance; a: phase

Discrete set of templates labeled by I=(m1, m2)

» 1.0 Msun < m1, m2 < 3.0 Msun

![](_page_28_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Active Inspiral Searches**

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Binary Neutron Star Inspiral (S2)**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Upper limit on binary neutron star coalescence rate
  - Express the rate as a rate per Milky-Way Equivalent Galaxies (MWEG)

$$R_{90\%} = \frac{2.3}{T_{obs}N_G} = \frac{2.3}{355 \text{ hrs } \times 1.14} < 50 / \text{year/MWEG}$$

Theoretical prediction:  $R < 2 \times 10^{-5} / yr/MWEG$ 

- Express as the **distance** to which radiation from a 1.4  $M_{sun}$  pair would be detectable with a SNR of 5

$$D = 2 \text{ Mpc} \approx 10^{22} \text{ m}$$

Important to look out further, so more galaxies can contribute to population of NS

# New rate predictions from SHBs?

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 4 Short Hard gamma ray Bursts since May 2005
  - » Detected by Swift and HETE-2, with rapid follow-up using Hubble, Chandra, and look-back at BATSE
  - » Find that SHB progenitors are too old (>5 Gyr) to be supernova explosions (cause of long GRBs)
  - » Remaining candidates for progenitors of SHBs: old double neutron star (DNS) or neutron star-black hole (NS-BH) coalescences
  - » Predicted rates for Initial LIGO (S5) could be as high as

 $R_{NS-BH} \sim 30 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ 

RD<sub>NS-NS</sub>~3 yr<sup>-1</sup>

Nakar, Gal-Yam, Fox, astro-ph/0511254

» But great uncertainty in rate estimates

## **LIGO**Binary Neutron Star Search: LIGO S5 Range

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Binary Inspiral Searches: LIGO Approximate S5 Ranges

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

- General Properties
  - » Duration << observation time
- Promise
  - » Unexpected sources and serendipity
  - » Search techniques must use minimal information

La Thuile 2006

### • Examples

- » Black hole and neutron star merger
- » Supernovae & gamma-ray bursters
- » Instabilities in nascent neutron stars
- » Kinks and cusps on cosmic strings

![](_page_34_Picture_12.jpeg)

Image: Baumgarte, Shapiro, Shibata

![](_page_34_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Search for bursts of unknown origin/waveform
  - » Generate event triggers for each instrument
  - » Veto triggers due to instrumental artifacts
  - » Determine upper limit on rate as function of strain
  - » Monte Carlo by simulated injections of astrophysical motivated signals and other model burst waveforms
- Ongoing activities
  - » Search for bursts associated with GRB's and other EM triggers
  - » Untriggered searches by broad range of methods (cast wide net)
  - » Inpiral-burst-ringdown coincidence searches
  - » Cosmic string burst search
- Other Activities:
  - » LIGO-TAMA Joint Analysis of S2 Data (complete)
  - » LIGO-VIRGO Working Group

## Search Method: Time-frequency decompositions

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Requires coincidence between at least two interferometers & detailed examination of instrumental & environmental behavior

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Burst search results

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

Phys. Rev. D. 72, 062001 (2005)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Raw results are reported
- Interpreted upper limit on representative waveform families is also report .....

Burst search triggers

- » Blind search procedure provide list of coincident triggers
- » Auxiliary and environmental channels provide important information which can veto a trigger – very important to burst searches.
- » Example:

![](_page_37_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_11.jpeg)

# **LIGO**Triggered search around GRB030329

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

Physical Review D 72 042002 (2005)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

Root sum square

sensitivity  $< 6x10^{-21}$ 

Cross-correlate data around time of GRB trigger

- Estimate background from off-source times around GRB
- Estimate background from time-slides
- S3/S4 analysis will cover ~20-30 GRB's

# Continuous wave searches: target sources

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

Credit: Dana Berry/NASA

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

Credit: M. Kramer

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Bumpy Neutron Star**

e 2006

G050027-00-Z

## **LIGO**Continuous Wave Group Activities

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Known pulsar searches
  - » Catalog of known pulsars
  - » Heterodyne narrow bandwidth folding data
  - » Coherent frequency domain search using Hough transform
- All sky unbiased
  - » Sum short power spectra (no Doppler correction)
- Wide area search
  - » Hierarchical Hough transform code is under development

## **LIGO** Summary of pulsar searches

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

- S1 → Setting upper limits on the strength of periodic gravitational waves from PSR J1939 2134 using GEO600 and LIGO data
  - » Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 082004
- S2  $\rightarrow$  Limits on GW emission from 28 selected pulsars using LIGO data
  - » Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 181103

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **Ligo**Probing the early

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **LIGO**Stochastic Background of Gravitational Waves

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

Given an energy density spectrum  $\Omega_{qw}(f)$ , there is a GW strain power spectrum

$$\Omega_{GW}(f) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}_{critical}} \frac{d\mathbf{r}_{GW}}{d(\ln f)} \implies S_{gw}(f) = \frac{3H_0^2}{10\pi^2} f^{-3}\Omega_{gw}(f)$$

For standard inflation ( $\rho_c$  depends on present day Hubble constant)

$$h(f) = S_{\rm gw}^{1/2}(f) = 5.6 \times 10^{-22} h_{100} \sqrt{\Omega_0} \left(\frac{100 \text{Hz}}{f}\right)^{3/2} \text{Hz}^{1/2}$$

Search by cross-correlating output of two GW detectors: L1-H1, H1-H2, L1-ALLEGRO

The closer the detectors, the lower the frequencies that can be searched (due to overlap reduction function)

La mune 2000

## **Lieb**IGO results for $\Omega_0 h_{100}^2$

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **LIGO**Stochastic Background Search (S3)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Advanced LIGO Why a better detector?

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

Factor 10 better amplitude sensitivity

»  $(Reach)^3 = rate$ 

• Factor 4 lower frequency bound

• Tunable

- Hope for NSF funding in FY08 ≤
- Infrastructure of initial LIGO but replace many detector components with new designs
- Expect to be observing 1000x more galaxies by 2013

Through these features:

- Fused silica multi-stage suspension
- ~20x higher laser power
- Active seismic isolation
- Signal recycling
- Quantum engineering rad'n pressure vs. shot noise

![](_page_47_Figure_15.jpeg)

G050027-00-Z

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Neutron star binaries
  - Range =350Mpc
  - N ~ 2/(yr) 3/(day)
- Black hole binaries
  - Range=1.7Gpc
  - N ~ 1/(month) 1/(hr)
- BH/NS binaries
  - Range=750Mpc
  - $N \sim 1/(yr) 1/(day)$

![](_page_48_Figure_11.jpeg)

# Large Interferometers

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Advanced LIGO

- » Order-of-magnitude sensitivity improvement
- » Received scientific approval from National Science Board
- » NSF planning to request funding starting in FY 2008
- » Three advanced detectors observing by 2013?
- VIRGO upgrade Being discussed
- LCGT (Japan)
  - » Two 3-km interferometers in Kamioka mountain
  - » Sensitivity comparable to Advanced LIGO
  - » Hope for funding beginning in FY 2007 ; begin observations in 2011 ?
- AIGO (Australia)
  - » Considering adding 2 km arms to current facility at Gingin
- CEGO (China) ?

## 

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Short burst GRB050709

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

HST Image Credit: Derek Fox

#### Long burst GRB030329

![](_page_50_Picture_6.jpeg)

NASA Image

#### Possible scenario for short GRBs: neutron star/black hole collision

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

Credit: Dana Berry/NASA La Thuile 2006

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)