#### Tevatron Run I QCD Results

Don Lincoln



QuantumStudy of the forceChromogoverning the behaviorDynamicsof quarks and gluons

- Inclusive Jet Cross-Section (Cone Algorithm)
- Measurement of  $\alpha_s$
- Inclusive Jet Cross-Section (k<sub>T</sub> Algorithm)
- Event shape variables <u>New</u>
- Jet structure/quark-gluon separation







# Cone Definition of Jets

Observable remnant of parton-parton hard scatter

**Centroid found with** 4-vector addition

 $E_{iet} = S E_i$  $E_{T}^{jet} = E_{iet} \sin q_{iet}$ 

(CDF's Definition)

1.3R 2R

 Cone Definition ► R=0.7 in η–φ



- Merging and splitting of  $h = -\ln[\tan(q/2)]$ jets required if they share energy
- R<sub>sep</sub> required to compare theoretical predictions Sloop ned for to data

(R<sub>sep</sub> is the minimum separation of 2 partons to be considered distinct jets)

> f Don Lincoln f La Thuile 2002

more tons agoint the



## State of the Art 1995 (The Controversy)

## High p<sub>T</sub> excess is exciting prospect



# Controversy Resolved(?)

More modern pdf's (esp. CTEQ4M and CTEQ4HJ) ameliorate the disagreement







# $\mathbf{\alpha}_{s} \text{ from Inclusive Jet}$ $\frac{ds}{dE_{T}} = \mathbf{a}_{s}^{2}(\mathbf{m}_{R})X^{(0)}(\mathbf{m}_{R},\mathbf{m}_{F})\times [1 + \mathbf{a}_{s}(\mathbf{m}_{R})k_{1}(\mathbf{m}_{R},\mathbf{m}_{F})]$

- $a_s^2 X^{(0)}$  is LO prediction
- $a_s^{3}X^{(0)}k_1$  is NLO prediction
- $X^{(0)}$  and  $k_1$  determined from JETRAD
- MS scheme used (CTEQ4M)
- Jet cone algorithm used with  $R_{sep} = 1.3$
- $\boldsymbol{a}_{s}$  determined in 33  $E_{T}$  bins

PRL 88 042001 (2002)



#### Ellis-Soper PRD 48 3160

$$d_{ij} = \min(E_{T,i}^2, E_{T,j}^2) \frac{\Delta \mathbf{R}_{ij}}{D^2}$$

#### $d_{ii} = E_{T,i}^2$ (b) (a)Beam Beam (c)(d)Beam Beam (e)(f)Beam Beam

# $\Delta R_{ii}^{2}$ K<sub>T</sub> Definition of Jets

 $\min(d_{ii}, d_{ij}) = d_{ij} \Rightarrow \text{Merge}$ 

 $\min(d_{ii}, d_{ij}) = d_{ii} \Longrightarrow \text{Jet}$ 



### • K<sub>T</sub> Definition

cells/clusters are combined if their relative  $k_T^2$  is "small" (relative transverse momentum) (D=1.0 or 0.5 is a scaling parameter)

- Infrared safe
- Same definition for partons, Monte Carlo and data
- Allows subjet definitions

## Inclusive Cross Section Using $K_T$ Algorithm

|η| < 0.5 D = 1.0

- Predictions IR and UV safe
- Merging behavior well-defined for both experiment and theory



#### Phys. Lett. B 525 211 (2002)

## Comparison with Theory



- Normalization differs by 20% or more
- No significant deviations of predictions from data, when correlated systematic errors are included
- When first 4 data points ignored, probabilities are 60-80%

PDF	c/dof	Prob
MRST	1.12	31
MRSTg <b>↑</b>	1.38	10
MRSTg↓	1.17	25
CTEQ3M	1.56	4
CTEQ4M	1.30	15
CTEQ4HJ	1.13	29





Transverse 'Thrust' at DÆ Using K<sub>T</sub> jets



Event shapes used at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and ep to test QCD developments like resummation calculations and nonperturbative corrections

Non-perturbative corrections: of the order of 1/Q. Related to hadronization effects.

Resummations: needed at small values of the shape variable where fixed-order perturbative calculations are expected to fail. Traditional variable (thrust) not suitable for hadron-collider environment. (Lorentz boost invariance)

#### Transverse Thrust:

 $T_2^T = \max_{\hat{n}} \frac{\sum_i \left| \vec{p}_{t_i} \cdot \hat{n} \right|}{\sum_i \left| \vec{p}_{t_i} \right|}$ **T=1** 2 partons in final state T=[2/3,1](LO)**3 partons in final state** T=[1/2,1](N...NLO) N partons in final state f Don Lincoln f La Thuile 2002

## Dijet Transverse Thrust cross section

Run Ib (1994-1996), 
$$\sqrt{s} = 1.8 \, TeV$$
,  $\int L = 88 \, pb^{-1}$ 

 $K_T$  algorithm (parameter D = 1)

**Event Selection:** 

- Vertex cut (| z | < 50 cm, *e* ~ 90 %)
- Jet quality cuts (*e* ~ 99.5 %)
  (0.05 < *EMF* < 0.95, *CHF* < 0.4)</li>
- Trigger-specific leading jet p<sub>T</sub> cuts
- Cut on missing  $E_T (\not\!\!E_T / p_T^{lj} < 0.7)$
- $|\eta_1| < 1$ ,  $|\eta_2| < 1$ ,  $|\eta_3| < 3$  (if present)

Non-Traditional Aspects

Use only leading two jets to calculate  $T_2^T$ 

Bin in HT<sub>3</sub>

Compromise on measuring hard scale and insensitivity to noise.

• Bin in  $HT_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} E_{T,i}^{jet}$ 

Jet production rate  $\alpha_s^3$  is NLO

Event shape observables  $\alpha_s^3$  is LO

## Dijet Transverse Thrust cross section





CTEQ4HJ, 
$$\mu_F = \mu_R = P_T^{\text{max}}/2$$
  
Only statistical errors included

N

 $\Delta T_2^T L e$ 

 $d\mathbf{S}$ 

Systematic error work underway



Deviations at High (1-T)  $\rightarrow$  higher order Low (1-T)  $\rightarrow$  resummation

Preprint forthcoming



## Quark/Gluon Separation

- Quark/gluon separation interesting
  - QCD/fragmentation studies
  - Useful for enhancing quark-only final states (c.f. tt → all jets)
  - Find quark (gluon) enhanced samples.

$$f_g^{630} \sim 0.46$$
  
 $f_g^{1800} \sim 0.66$ 





$$\begin{array}{l} x_{630} \sim 0.09 - 0.16 \\ x_{1800} \sim 0.03 - 0.055 \end{array}$$



## DÆ Subjet Multiplicity Using K<sub>T</sub> Algorithm



PRD 65 052008 (2002)

## DÆ Subjet Multiplicity Using K<sub>T</sub> Algorithm





$$R = 1.84 \pm 0.15$$
 (stat)  $^{+0.22}_{-0.16}$  (syst)

#### **HERWIG** prediction = $1.91 \pm 0.16$ (stat)

Largest uncertainty comes from the gluon fractions in the PDFs





# Summary #1



- CDF's new inclusive jet analysis does not show the dramatic high p<sub>T</sub> excess of earlier analyses and highlights need for better high-*x* gluon pdfs
- CDF has recently published a nice analysis measuring  $\alpha_s$  from 50-250 GeV and evolved it to  $M_z$ , where it agrees nicely with the world average
  - Analysis does show sensitivity to the high  $p_{\perp}$  excess described above





# Summary #2



- DØ has recently completed an extensive program using the  $k_T$  jet-finding algorithm
  - Inclusive jet cross-section
    - Reasonable agreement with NLO calculations
    - Prefers CTEQ4HJ and MRST pdfs
    - $k_{\perp}$  jets contain more energy than similar cone jets
  - Event shape analysis (using transverse thrust)
    - Very preliminary results shown here. Preprint available soon.
  - Jet structure analysis
    - Good agreement with HERWIG
    - Approximately twice as much radiation from gluon jets as from quark jets.





www-d0.fnal.gov/~lucifer/PowerPoint/LaThuile2002.ppt



## DÆ Subjet Multiplicity Using K<sub>T</sub> Algorithm

- Perturbative and resummed calculations predict that gluon jets have higher subjet multiplicity than quark jets, on average.
- Linear Combination:



**Gluon Jet Fraction** 

Quark Jet Fraction

$$f_g^{630} = 0.33$$
  
 $f_g^{1800} = 0.59$ 



PRD 65 052008 (2002)

f Don Lincoln f La Thuile 2002

#### **DÆ** Subjet Multiplicity Using $K_T$ Algorithm • Assume $M_g$ , $M_O$ Normalized number of jets 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3 independent of **Ö**s HERWIG + full detector simulation $M_{g} = \frac{(1 - f_{630})M_{1800} - (1 - f_{1800})M_{630}}{f_{1800} - f_{630}}$ (a) Gluon jets $M_{q} = \frac{f_{1800}M_{630} - f_{630}M_{1800}}{f_{1800} - f_{630}}$ Extracted Tagged, 1800 GeV Tagged, 630 GeV (b) Quark jets Uncorrected 0.1 4

0

2

PRD 65 052008 (2002)

f Don Lincoln f La Thuile 2002

8

6

Subjet multiplicity M